arXiv:1605.04778v6 [math.FA] 21 Apr 2017

CYLINDRICAL WIGNER MEASURES

MARCO FALCONI

Abstract. We introduce the semiclassical, or Wigner, measures associated to regular states that act on the tensor product of a unitary C*-representation of the Heisenberg group of *arbitrary* dimension, and a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$. The Wigner measures are identified with the cluster points of (generalized) sequences of regular states, indexed by the semiclassical parameter $h \to 0$. All the measures are vector-valued, with values in the positive continuous functionals of $\mathfrak{A}$. If the Heisenberg group is infinite dimensional, the Wigner measures are cylindrical measures, *i.e.* finitely additive measures on the algebra of (dual) cylinders. Our analysis shows that, for infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups, the semiclassical structure that emerges in the limit is richer than in the finite-dimensional case.

1. Introduction

Wigner semiclassical measures are a powerful tool in the effective or asymptotic description of quantum systems [see e.g. 19, 22, 25, 27, 31, 35, 40, and references thereof contained]. The standard Wigner measures are Radon measures on the cotangent bundle $\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma$ of a *finite dimensional* real vector space Σ. They are the abelian counterpart of quantum states that are normal w.r.t. the irreducible representation of the corresponding Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma)$. The semiclassical measures are naturally introduced in relation to pseudodifferential (Weyl) calculus. In fact, let $h \geq 0$ be the semiclassical parameter. Then for any bounded family of vectors $(u_h)_{0<h\leq h_0} \subset L^2(\Sigma)$, $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists a subsequence $(u_{h_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, $h_j \to 0$, and a finite Radon measure μ on $\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma$ such that for any $a \in C_0^\infty(\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma)$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \langle u_{h_j}, \mathrm{Op}^{h_j}_{\frac{1}{2}}(a) u_{h_j}\rangle_2 = \int_{\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma} a(z)\mathrm{d}\mu(z) \ .$$

$\mathrm{Op}^h_{\frac{1}{2}}(a)$ denotes the h-dependent Weyl quantization of the symbol a.

Heisenberg groups associated to *infinite dimensional* symplectic spaces are fundamental in the study of relativistic and many-body (bosonic) quantum systems,

Date: April 24, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 81Q20, 81S05. Secondary: 46L99, 47L90.

Key words and phrases. Semiclassical analysis, Infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups, Quantum Field Theory.

The author acknowledges the support of MIUR through the FIR grant 2013 Condensed Matter in Mathematical Physics (Cond-Math) (code RBFR13WAET). Part of this work has been done at the University of Stuttgart, with the support of the IRTG GRK1838.

since they encode the relations of commutation among canonical observables. In particular, the Weyl C*-algebras associated to Heisenberg groups $\mathbf{H}(X,\sigma)$, X infinite-dimensional, are taken as the starting point to define bosonic quantum field theories. Pseudodifferential calculus for infinite dimensional symplectic spaces (X,σ) is notoriously difficult, due to the lack of a locally finite and translation-invariant measure. In addition, the Weyl C*-algebra associated to (X,σ) has uncountably many inequivalent irreducible representations. If X is a Hilbert space, attempts have been made to construct a pseudodifferential calculus in the Fock representation (the representation associated to free quantum fields). Among these attempts, let us mention the Weyl calculus in abstract Wiener spaces [9–11]; and the Wick quantization of polynomial symbols, in connection with the quantization of cylindrical symbols [4, 12]. In [4], the Wigner measures corresponding to Fock-normal states with finite density were introduced, and later used in [2, 3, 5–8, 20] to study variational and dynamical semiclassical problems in quantum field theory. They were also used to prove the quantum De Finetti theorem, and the mean field derivation of Gibbs measures [28–30].

The purpose of this paper is to provide a systematic study of Wigner measures corresponding to quantum states on the Weyl C*-algebra $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ associated to $\mathbf{H}(X,\sigma)$, with the fewest possible assumptions on either the symplectic space (X,σ) or the quantum states. Therefore, we cannot rely on the pseudodifferential techniques mentioned above, since they are only applicable when X is a Hilbert space and the states are normal with respect to the Fock representation (and of finite density). Our analysis is based on the abstract properties of (regular) states of the Weyl C*-algebra, on the inductive structure of finite dimensional subspaces of X, and on the characterization of Wigner measures associated to finite-dimensional Heisenberg groups.

Motivated by the study of coupled physical systems – either with fast and slow degrees of freedom, or with a part that does not behave semiclassically [see e.g. 20, 34, and references thereof contained] – we consider the tensor product of the Weyl C*-algebra of semiclassical observables $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ with an arbitrary C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, that represents additional degrees of freedom and is not affected by changes in the semiclassical parameter. The Wigner measures corresponding to states in the tensor product are vector-valued and not scalar, with values in the convex cone $\mathfrak{A}'_+$. Let us remark that the scalar Wigner measures associated to states on $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ are included in the analysis, by setting $\mathfrak{A}=\mathbb{C}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.1 we discuss the main results of the paper. In Section 2, we introduce the Weyl C*-algebras associated to Heisenberg groups, the regular states and their generating maps, and partial

evaluation in tensor products of C*-algebras. In Section 3, the semiclassical behavior of generating maps of regular states is studied. In Section 4, we identify the limit points of bounded sequences of regular quantum states with cylindrical Wigner measures, and prove that the set of all Wigner measures is the whole space of cylindrical measures. In Section 5, the limit points of regular states are alternatively identified with states on a C*-algebra of almost periodic functions. In Section 6, we study the induced action on Wigner measures of transformations of the quantum states. In Appendix A, we recall some basic definitions and results in the theory of cone-valued vector measures.

1.1. **Discussion of the results.** For any symplectic space (X, σ), as $h \to 0$, at least one Wigner measure can be associated to any uniformly bounded generalized sequence of *regular* quantum states (Theorem 4.1). If X is finite dimensional, Theorem 4.1 reduces to the well-known result of semiclassical analysis. For infinite dimensional spaces, two interesting features of the semiclassical structure become evident.

The first is that the Wigner measures do not act on the phase space (X, σ), but rather on any topological vector space V that is in compatible duality with X – *i.e.* on any V such that the continuous dual $V' = X$. Once a vector space V in duality with X has been fixed, it can still be endowed with many compatible topologies. In Section 4.1, the possible choices of V are discussed in detail. Let us remark that in some cases X may be in compatible duality with itself, and therefore the Wigner measures are measures on the phase space, as it might be desirable from a physical standpoint. As discussed in Section 4.2, the cotangent bundle $\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma$ of any reflexive locally convex space Σ is a symplectic topological vector space in compatible duality with itself.

The second feature is that Wigner measures may fail to be Radon measures, for they are in general *cylindrical measures* on V. Cylindrical measures can be seen, equivalently, either as finitely additive measures on the algebra of cylinders, or as a projective system of Borel measures on the finite dimensional quotients of V. Any Radon measure is a cylindrical measure, but the converse is not true if V is infinite dimensional. In Section 4.3, it is discussed how to interpret cylindrical measures as Radon measures acting on a "larger" space embedding V.

Therefore it is possible to characterize the semiclassical cluster points of bounded sequences of regular quantum states as cylindrical Wigner measures (with values in the cone $\mathfrak{A}'_+$ of positive states on $\mathfrak{A}$), for any choice of symplectic space (X, σ). The only restriction is on quantum states, that are required to be regular. Non-regular quantum states are not suitable for a semiclassical description in terms of classical states of statistical mechanics.

Wigner measures are cylindrical measures. It is natural to ask whether the set of all possible Wigner measures $\mathscr{W}$ coincides with the set of all cylindrical measures, or with a proper subset (*e.g.* the subset of Radon measures). In [30] – studying the thermodynamic limit of Gibbs states in the Fock representation – cylindrical Wigner measures have been obtained that are not Radon measures. It turns out that the set of all Wigner measures equals the set of all cylindrical measures, as proved in Theorem 4.3. In other words, given a cylindrical (vector-valued) measure $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$ – on any space V in compatible duality with X – there exists a generalized sequence of regular quantum states that has M as (unique) Wigner measure.

Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to two applications of Theorem 4.1. The first is that the semiclassical cluster points of regular quantum states can be alternatively identified with states in the abelian C*-algebra $\mathbf{LAP}(V)$ of almost periodic functions from V to $\mathbb{C}$, generated by the characters $\{e^{ix(\cdot)}, x \in X\}$ (for any V in compatible duality with X). In Section 6, we study the action of transformations at the quantum level on Wigner measures. Perhaps the most interesting situation is the following. Let

$$u : V \to \underline{V}$$

be a continuous linear map, and suppose that V and $\underline{V}$ are in compatible duality with the symplectic spaces (X, σ) and $(\underline{X}, \underline{\sigma})$ respectively. Consider the Weyl C*-algebra *-homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}^{(u)} \in {}^*\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(\underline{X}, \underline{\sigma}), \mathbf{W}_h(X, \sigma)\big)$$

defined by the (adjoint) action on generators $W_h\big({}^{\mathrm{t}}u(\underline{x})\big)$. Consider a bounded generalized sequence of regular states $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ on $\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(X, \sigma)$ that converges to the Wigner measure $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathbb{R}^+)$ without losing mass. Then the sequence of regular states $({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}^{(u)}(\omega_{h_\beta}))_{\beta\in B}$ on $\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(\underline{X}, \underline{\sigma})$ converges to the Wigner measure $u_* M$, pushforward image of M by u.

2. Weyl C*-algebra

In this section we introduce the Weyl C* algebra of canonical commutation relations corresponding to an infinite dimensional Heisenberg group. We then describe some of the properties of composite quantum systems, consisting of a semiclassical and a fixed part.

2.1. Infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups and the algebra of canonical commutation relations.

Let (X, σ) be a real linear space of arbitrary (infinite) dimension endowed with a symplectic form, *i.e.* $\sigma : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is non-degenerate,

bilinear and antisymmetric. Then the Heisenberg group $\mathbf{H}(X,\sigma)$ associated to (X,σ) is $X\times\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the group structure

$$\big(x,t\big)\cdot\big(y,s\big)=\big(x+y,t+s-\sigma(x,y)\big)\ .$$

The set of elements $Z=\{(0,t),t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ is the center of the group. Among the representations of the Heisenberg group, one plays a prominent role in quantum theories and semiclassical analysis: the *Weyl C*-algebra*. From a physical standpoint, this algebra encodes in a natural way the canonical commutation relations of (bosonic) quantum systems. In semiclassical analysis, it is the starting point to define quantizations and pseudodifferential calculus. The Weyl C*-algebra is uniquely defined, up to *-isomorphisms, as the smallest C*-algebra containing the set

$$\{W(x),x\in X\}\ ,$$

together with the following three properties for its elements

$$\begin{aligned}
&*\ W(x)\neq 0 && (\forall x\in X)\\
&*\ W(-x)=W(x)^* && (\forall x\in X)\\
&*\ W(x)W(y)=e^{-i\sigma(x,y)}W(x+y) && (\forall x,y\in X)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us adopt the notation $\mathbf{W}(X,\sigma)=\mathrm{C}^*\big(\{W(x),x\in X\}\big)$. From the definition, it follows that $W(0)=1$ (identity element), and that each $W(x)$ is unitary. Therefore $\mathbf{W}(X,\sigma)$ is a non abelian unital C*-algebra generated by unitaries. The map

$$\underset{(x,t)}{X\times\mathbb{R}}\to\underset{e^{it}W(x)}{\mathbf{W}(X,\sigma)}\ ,$$

together with the identification of the group product with the C*-algebra product, provides the unitary representation of the Heisenberg group in the Weyl algebra. Therefore from now on we will focus on the Weyl C*-algebra, keeping in mind the underlying Heisenberg group structure.

The non abelian nature of the Heisenberg group, or equivalently of the Weyl algebra, is given by the symplectic factors $-i\sigma(x,y)$ in the product. Borrowing an idea from deformation theory, it is natural to "measure" the noncommutativity of the Weyl algebra introducing a real parameter $h\geq 0$ such that when $h>0$ the algebra is non abelian, and when $h=0$ it becomes abelian. This justifies the following definition.

Definition 2.1 (Weyl deformation)**.** Let (X,σ) be a couple consisting of a real vector space and a non-degenerate antisymmetric bilinear form (symplectic form). The *Weyl deformation* $\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big)_{h\geq 0}$ is a family of C*-algebras. For any $h\geq 0$,

the algebra $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ is generated by the set

$$\{W_h(x), x \in X\}\,,$$

together with the three properties of its elements

$$\begin{aligned}
&* \ W_h(x) \neq 0 && (\forall x \in X)\\
&* \ W_h(-x) = W_h(x)^* && (\forall x \in X)\\
&* \ W_h(x)W_h(y) = e^{-ih\sigma(x,y)}W_h(x+y) && (\forall x, y \in X)
\end{aligned}$$

For any $h > 0$, the algebra $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ is *-isomorphic to $\mathbf{W}(X,\sigma)$, since $W_h(x) = W(h^{1/2}x)$. When $h = 0$ however, the algebra $\mathbf{W}_0(X,\sigma)$ is an abelian unital C*-algebra of almost periodic functions [see Section 5; and 13, for an introduction to almost periodic functions]. In other words, a Weyl deformation contains infinitely many identical copies of the Weyl C*-algebra, and a single abelian algebra of almost periodic functions.

2.2. **Tensor product of C*-algebras and partial evaluation.** The purpose of semiclassical analysis is to investigate the behavior of pseudodifferential operators and quantum states (non abelian probabilities) as $h \to 0$. In particular, it is important to establish a correspondence that is as systematic as possible between non abelian objects and their abelian counterparts. For the physical reasons already discussed in the introduction, we couple the Weyl algebra with another C*-algebra that represent some additional degrees of freedom that do not behave semiclassically (and therefore do not depend on h). In addition, this paper focuses on the study of Wigner measures, that are the abelian counterpart of quantum states, using an approach that do not rely on pseudodifferential operators and quantization procedures (that are notoriously difficult to define whenever X is infinite dimensional).

Instead of $\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big)_{h\geq 0}$, we consider the deformation with the additional degrees of freedom given by a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.

$$(\mathfrak{W}_h)_{h\geq 0} = \big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma) \otimes_{\gamma_h} \mathfrak{A}\big)_{h\geq 0}\,; \tag{1}$$

where the index γ_h stands for a suitable choice of norm for the tensor product C*-algebra [see e.g. 38]. As it will be discussed in the following, not all the choices of norm would yield the same result. In applications, it is sometimes important to consider the enveloping von Neumann algebra $\mathrm{W}_h(V,\sigma)''$ in place of $\mathrm{W}_h(V,\sigma)$, or other algebras that contain $\mathrm{W}_h(V,\sigma)$ as a subalgebra. The majority of our results extend to any deformation $(\mathfrak{V}_h \otimes_{\gamma_h} \mathfrak{A})_{h\geq 0}$ such that each $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{V}_h$. It will be pointed out explicitly in the text whether a result extends or not to the aforementioned situations. Finally, if one is interested only

in the deformation $\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big)_{h\geq 0}$ – in other words in the complete classical limit – it suffices to take $\mathfrak{A}$ to be the trivial C*-algebra generated by a single element. On $\mathfrak{W}_h$, there are two natural maps that play an important role, and we call them partial evaluations. We recall that for any topological linear space A, we denote by A' the continuous dual of A.

Definition 2.2 (Partial evaluation)**.** For any $h \geq 0$, define the *partial evaluation map*

$$\mathbb{E}^{(\cdot)}_{h,1} : \mathfrak{W}'_h \to \mathcal{B}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma), \mathfrak{A}'\big) \ ,$$

by its action

$$\mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}(w_h)(a) = \omega_h(w_h \otimes a) \ ,$$

for any $\omega_h \in \mathfrak{W}'_h$, $w_h \in \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$, and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. The *partial trace* of the (complex) state $\omega_h \in \mathfrak{W}'_h$ is the partial evaluation of the identity element $\mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}(1) \in \mathfrak{A}'$. The partial evaluation $E^{(\cdot)}_{h,2} : \mathfrak{W}'_h \to \mathcal{B}\big(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)'\big)$ is defined in a symmetric fashion.

In the definition above, $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ stands for the space of continuous linear maps from X to Y. We chose to emphasize (perhaps with an heavy notation) the dependence on the semiclassical parameter h, for it will play an important role. The partial evaluation does what its name suggests: given a (complex) state on the tensor algebra, it evaluates any observable of the first algebra and gives as output a (complex) state acting on the second algebra alone. The partial evaluation map has some important properties that are summarized in the following proposition [see e.g. 38, for a proof].

Proposition 2.3. *For any $h \geq 0$, the evaluation map $\mathbb{E}_{h,1}$ is an isometry of $\mathfrak{W}'_h$ into $\mathcal{B}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma), \mathfrak{A}'\big)$. In addition, an element $\omega_h \in \mathfrak{W}'_h$ is a positive state of total mass m_h* – i.e. *$\omega_h \in (\mathfrak{W}_h)'_+$ and $\|\omega_h\|_{\mathfrak{W}'_h} = m_h$ – if the resulting evaluation $\mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1} : \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma) \to \mathfrak{A}'$ is completely positive and the partial trace $\mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}(1) \in \mathfrak{A}'_+$ satisfies*

$$\|\mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}(1)\|_{\mathfrak{A}'} = m_h \ .$$

If γ_h is the maximal norm $\gamma_{\max}$, then $\mathbb{E}_{h,1}$ is onto *$\mathcal{B}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma), \mathfrak{A}'\big)$ and the converse of the second statement holds.*

2.3. **The generating map and regular states.** Given a state on the Weyl C*-algebra, it is possible to define its generating functional [see 37]; in our framework it is not a functional, but a map from X to $\mathfrak{A}'$. Throughout this section, we take $h > 0$ if not specified otherwise.

Definition 2.4 (Generating map)**.** Let $\omega_h \in (\mathfrak{W}_h)'_+$ be a state, we define the *generating map* $G_{\omega_h} : X \to \mathfrak{A}'$ by

$$G_{\omega_h}(x) = \mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}\big(W_h(x)\big) \qquad (\forall x \in X) \, .$$

The generating map is used to define a very important class of states (and hence its name), the so-called regular states. Regular states are those that allow for a natural semiclassical description in term of Wigner measures.

Definition 2.5 (Regular states)**.** Let $\omega_h \in (\mathfrak{W}_h)'_+$ be a state, G_{ω_h} its generating map. Then ω_h is *regular* iff for any $x \in X$, the $\mathbb{R}$-action $G_{\omega_h}(\,\cdot\, x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathfrak{A}'$ is continuous when $\mathfrak{A}'$ is endowed with the ultraweak topology (ultraweakly continuous).

There are many equivalent definitions of regular states. We also make use of the following, that can be proved *e.g.* using the properties of map $\mathbb{E}_{h,2}$ and the equivalent result for trivial $\mathfrak{A}$ [see 18, Section 5.2.3]. Let (R, σ) be a finite dimensional real symplectic vector space. We say that a state ϱ_h on $\mathbf{W}_h(R, \sigma) \otimes_{\gamma_h} \mathfrak{A}$ is *normal* iff for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}_+$, $\mathbb{E}^{\varrho_h}_{h,2}(a)$ is a (positive) trace class operator in the unique irreducible representation of $\mathbf{W}_h(R, \sigma)$ (its uniqueness up to unitary equivalence is guaranteed by Stone-von Neumann's theorem).

Proposition 2.6. *A state ω_h is regular iff for any finite dimensional $R \subset X$ its restriction ϱ_h to $\mathbf{W}_h(R, \sigma) \otimes_{\gamma_h} \mathfrak{A}$ is a normal state.*

In particular, it follows that the generating map of a regular state is ultraweakly continuous when restricted to any finite dimensional subspace of X.

The following result is an extension to our setting of the main result of the aforementioned paper of Segal [37]. The idea is that regular stater are uniquely determined by the generating map, and the latter is "almost" completely positive (up to a complex phase factor) and ultraweakly continuous on finite dimensional subspaces.

Proposition 2.7. *For any $h > 0$, a map $G_h : X \to \mathfrak{A}'$ is the generating map of a regular state $\omega_h \in (\mathfrak{W}_h)'_+$ of partial trace $\alpha_h \in \mathfrak{A}'_+$ only if all the restrictions of G_h to finite dimensional subspaces of X are ultraweakly continuous, $G_h(0) = \alpha_h$ and*

$$\sum_{j,k \in J} G_h(x_j - x_k) e^{ih\sigma(x_j, x_k)} (a_k^* a_j) \geq 0 \, ;$$

where the $x_j \in X$ are arbitrary as well as the $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$, and J is any finite index set. If in addition γ_h is the maximal norm, the converse holds and the map G_h uniquely determines ω_h.

Remark 2.8. If in $\mathfrak{W}_h$, with maximal norm, we replace $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ by its enveloping von Neumann algebra or any algebra that contains the Weyl C*-algebra as a subalgebra, G_h does not determine ω_h uniquely.

Proof. Let us start with the easy "only if" part for generic cross norms. Ultraweak continuity follows from Proposition 2.6, the other two properties follow from Proposition 2.3: in fact $W_h(0) = 1$;

$$\sum_{j,k\in F} \mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}\big(W_h(x_k)^* W_h(x_j)\big)(a_k^* a_j) \geq 0$$

by complete positivity of $\mathbb{E}^{\omega_h}_{h,1}$; and $W_h(-x)W_h(y) = e^{ih\sigma(x,y)}W_h(x-y)$ by definition of the Weyl algebra. To prove the "if" part and uniqueness when γ_h is the maximal cross norm, we act with the generating map on an arbitrary $a \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. Since $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_+ - \mathfrak{A}_+ + i(\mathfrak{A}_+ - \mathfrak{A}_+)$, this suffices to characterize the map $G_h : X \to \mathfrak{A}'$ by linearity. Let us denote by $G_h^a(\cdot) = G_h(\cdot)(a) : X \to \mathbb{C}$. By Theorem 1 of Segal [37], to G_h^a there corresponds a unique regular state $\varrho_h^a \in \big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big)'_+$ such that $G_h^a(\cdot) = \varrho_h^a\big(W_h(\cdot)\big)$. By the last property of G_h this defines a unique completely positive map $\varrho_h^{(\cdot)} : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)'$. Therefore the analogous of Proposition 2.3 for $\mathbb{E}_{h,2}$ yields that $\omega_h = \mathbb{E}_{h,2}^{-1}(\varrho_h^{(\cdot)})$ is a positive regular measure of total mass $G_h(0)$, uniquely determined by G_h. ⊣

3. Semiclassical analysis of regular states

In this section we study the semiclassical behavior $h \to 0$ of generalized sequences of regular states. In particular, we prove compactness, in a suitable topology, of the corresponding family of generating maps.

3.1. Finite dimensional Weyl algebra.

By Proposition 2.6, if X is finite dimensional then any state that is normal with respect to the Schrödinger representation is regular. In other words, the semiclassical analysis of regular states on $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$, with $X \cong \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d)'$, reduces to the semiclassical analysis of families in $\mathfrak{S}^1\big(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)_+$, the cone of positive trace class operators. By linearity, it is sufficient to study families of vectors $(u_h)_{h>0} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as $h \to 0$ (with norms uniformly bounded with respect to h). The commutative objects corresponding to the family $(u_h)_{h>0}$ are called Wigner measures, and are finite Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d)'$ (equivalently on X). In addition, to any family $(u_h)_{h>0}$ with uniformly bounded norms there corresponds at least one Wigner measure. We formulate this well-known result [31, Théorème III.1] in a slightly different way, that is more suitable for our purposes.

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a topological space, and Y a set; we denote by $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{s}}^Y$ the space of functions from Y to $\mathfrak{B}$ endowed with the topology of simple (pointwise) convergence.

Throughout Sections 3, 4, and 5 we denote by $(\diamond_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta \to 0$, a net of objects indexed by the directed set B, such that $\diamond_{h_\beta} = \diamond_{h_{\beta'}}$ whenever $h_\beta = h_{\beta'}$, $(h_\beta)_{\beta\in B}$ is precompact in the topology of $\mathbb{R}$, and

$$\lim_{\beta\in B} h_\beta = 0 \, .$$

A point x is a cluster point for $(x_\beta)_{\beta\in B}$ if there exists a subnet $(x_b)_{b\in \underline{B}}$ such that $\lim_{b\in\underline{B}} x_b = x$. A point x is a sequential cluster point for $(x_\beta)_{\beta\in B}$ if it is a cluster point and the corresponding subnet is a subsequence ($\underline{B} = \mathbb{N}$).

A uniformly bounded net of regular states $(\varrho_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ on $\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(X,\sigma)\otimes_{\gamma_{h_\beta}}\mathfrak{A}$, with $X \cong X'$ finite dimensional, defines a net of linear maps $(H_{\varrho_{h_\beta}})_{\beta\in B}$ from $\mathfrak{A}$ to the dual $C_0^\infty(X')'$ of smooth compactly supported functions by the Bochner integral

$$\forall a \in \mathfrak{A} \, , \, \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(X') \, , \; H_{\varrho_h}(a)(\varphi) = \varrho_h\Big(\int_X \hat\varphi(x) W_h(2\pi x) \mathrm{d}L_X(x) \otimes a\Big) \, , \tag{2}$$

where L_X is the Lebesgue measure of X. In addition, each $H_{\varrho_{h_\beta}}$ is also a continuous linear map from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $C_0(X')'$, the dual of the compactly supported continuous functions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\varrho_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta \to 0$, be a net of regular states that acts on $\big(\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(X,\sigma)\otimes_{\gamma_{h_\beta}}\mathfrak{A}\big)_{\beta\in B}$ with X* of finite dimension. *If*

$$\sup_{\beta\in B} \varrho_{h_\beta}\big(W_{h_\beta}(0)\big) < \infty \, ,$$

then for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\big\{H_{\varrho_{h_\beta}}(a), \beta \in B\big\}$ is precompact in the $\sigma\big(C_0(X')', C_0(X)\big)$ topology, and the cluster points of any subnet $\big(H_{\varrho_{h_b}}(a_+)\big)_{b\in\underline{B}}$, $h_b \to 0$, with $a_+ \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ are positive *linear functionals.*

Let us consider now $a \in \mathfrak{A}_+$, a mollifier $(\psi_\delta)_{\delta\in(0,1)} \subset C_0^\infty(X')$, and a subnet $\big(H_{\varrho_{h_b}}(a)\big)_{b\in\underline{B}}$, $h_b \to 0$, converging to μ_a. If the bound

$$\forall x \in X \, , \; \Big|H_{\varrho_{h_b}}(a)\big(\psi_\delta * e^{ix(\cdot)}\big) - \varrho_{h_b}\big(W_{h_b}(x)\otimes a\big)\Big| \leq o_\delta(1)$$

holds uniformly with respect to $(h_b)_{b\in\underline{B}}$, then $\big(G_{\varrho_{h_b}}(\cdot)(a)\big)_{b\in\underline{B}}$ has a limit in the $\mathbb{C}^X_{\mathrm{s}}$ topology. The limit g is a continuous function $g \in C(X,\mathbb{C})$, of positive type, and coincides with $\hat\mu_a$.

The fact that g is of positive type follows from Proposition 2.7 with $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}$. Therefore by Riesz-Markov's and Bochner's theorem respectively, the cluster points of $H_{\varrho_{h_\beta}}(a)$ and $G_{\varrho_{h_\beta}}(\cdot)(a)$, $a \in \mathfrak{A}_+$, are in bijection with the finite Borel Radon measures on X', and the latter are the defect or Wigner measures.

3.2. **Compactness and convergence in infinite-dimensional Weyl algebras.** Let (X,σ) be a real symplectic space of arbitrary dimension, and let

$$(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}\subset 2^X$$

be a collection of finite dimensional symplectic subspaces, indexed by a set F. In addition, let ω_h be a regular state on $\mathfrak{W}_h$. In analogy to (2), for any $\lambda\in F$, define the map $H^{(\lambda)}_{\omega_h}$ from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $C_0^\infty(X'_\lambda)'$ by

(3)
$$\forall a\in\mathfrak{A}\,,\forall\varphi_\lambda\in C_0^\infty(X'_\lambda)\ ,\ H^{(\lambda)}_{\omega_h}(a)(\varphi_\lambda)=\omega_h\Big(\int_{X_\lambda}\hat\varphi_\lambda(x)W_h(2\pi x)\mathrm{d}L_{X_\lambda}(x)\otimes a\Big)\ .$$

Let us consider now the set

$$H_B=\prod_{\lambda\in F}\prod_{a\in\mathfrak{A}_+}\big\{H^{(\lambda)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a),\beta\in B\big\}\ , \tag{4}$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{P}_F$ the product topology on H_B, with each $\big\{H^{(\lambda)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a),\beta\in B\big\}$ endowed with the $\sigma\big(C_0(X'_\lambda)',C_0(X_\lambda)\big)$ topology.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta\to 0$, be a net of regular states on $(\mathfrak{W}_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$. If*

$$\sup_{\beta\in B}\omega_{h_\beta}\big(W_{h_\beta}(0)\big)<\infty\ ,$$

then H_B is $\mathfrak{P}_F$-precompact, and the cluster points of any subnet

$$\Big(\mathfrak{h}_{h_b}\Big)_{b\in\underline{B}}\subset H_B\ ,$$

$h_b\to 0$, can be identified with a unique family of vector valued measures

$$(\mu_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}\ ,\ \forall\lambda\in F\ ,\ \mu_\lambda\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rad}}(X'_\lambda,\mathfrak{A}'_+)\ .$$

Proof. Compactness in the product topology follows immediately from Proposition 3.1. In addition, the cluster points $\mathfrak{h}$ of $(\mathfrak{h}_{h_b})_{b\in\underline{B}}$, $h_b\to 0$, have the form

$$a\mapsto\mathfrak{h}(a)\ ,\ \mathfrak{h}(a)=(\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(a))_{\lambda\in F}\ ,$$

with each $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(a)$ a positive element of $C_0(X'_\lambda)'$. Therefore by Riesz-Markov's theorem, for any $a\in\mathfrak{A}_+$ there exists a unique $\mu_\lambda(a)\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rad}}(X'_\lambda,\mathbb{R}^+)$ whose action on $C_0(X'_\lambda)$ agrees with $\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(a)$. In other words, there exists an injective map $\mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\big(a\mapsto\mathfrak{h}_\lambda(a)\big)_{\lambda\in F}\overset{\mathcal{R}}{\longmapsto}\big(a\mapsto\mu_\lambda(a)\big)_{\lambda\in F}\ . \tag{5}$$

In addition, by linearity it follows that for any $\lambda\in F$,

$$\big(a\mapsto\mu_\lambda(a)\big)\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}\big(\mathfrak{A}_+,\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rad}}(X'_\lambda,\mathbb{R}^+)\big)\ .$$

Therefore by Theorem A.3 there exists a bijection $\mathcal{B}$ such that, with $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{R}$,

$$\big(a \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_\lambda(a)\big)_{\lambda\in F} \overset{\mathcal{S}}{\longmapsto} \big(\mu_\lambda\big)_{\lambda\in F} \; ; \; \forall \lambda \in F \; , \; \mu_\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{\rm rad}(X'_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}'_+) \; . \tag{6}$$

⊣

Let us now turn our attention to the converging nets whose cluster points have no loss of mass. For these nets, there is an easier characterization of the cluster points by means of the generating maps, introduced in Section 2.3. The set of generating maps is always precompact with respect to the topology of simple convergence. In fact, let $(\mathfrak{W}_h)_{h\geq 0}$ be the tensor Weyl deformation introduced in Section 2.2, with X of arbitrary (infinite) dimension. We are interested in generalized bounded sequences of regular states of the form

$$(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B} \quad , \quad \lim_{\beta\in B} h_\beta = 0 \quad , \quad \sup_{\beta\in B} \omega_{h_\beta}(1) = m < \infty \; .$$

Let $\mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw}$ be the continuous dual of $\mathfrak{A}$ endowed with the ultraweak $\sigma(\mathfrak{A}', \mathfrak{A})$ topology. Let us denote by $G_B \subset (\mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw})^X$ and $G_B(x) \subset \mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw}$ the following sets:

$$G_B = \{G_{\omega_{h_\beta}}, \beta \in B\} \; ; \; G_B(x) = \{G_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(x), \beta \in B\} \; , \; x \in X \; .$$

The first result is that the family of images $G_B(x)$ is pointwise compact for any $x \in X$, and therefore G_B is precompact as a subset of the space of functions from X to $\mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ be a net of measures in the Weyl deformation $(\mathfrak{W}_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$. If there exists $m > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\beta\in B} \omega_{h_\beta}(1) = \sup_{\beta\in B} \omega_{h_\beta}\big(W_{h_\beta}(0)\big) = m \; ,$$

then $G_B(x)$ is precompact for any $x \in X$. It then follows that G_B is precompact as a subset of $(\mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw})^X_{\rm s}$.

Proof. It follows from Definition 2.4 of the generating map – since the Weyl operators are unitary – that for any $x \in X$, $\beta \in B$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$|G_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(x)(a)| \leq \|\omega_{h_\beta}\|_{\mathfrak{W}'_{h_\beta}} \|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \leq m\|a\|_{\mathfrak{A}} \; .$$

Therefore $G_\Omega(x)$ is contained in the ball of radius m of $\mathfrak{A}'$, and therefore it is precompact in the ultraweak topology by Banach-Alaoglu's theorem. ⊣

Let us now consider a converging net $(G_{\omega_{h_b}})_{b\in \underline{B}}$, $h_b \to 0$, in the precompact set G_B, and denote its restriction to any finite dimensional subspace $R \subset X$ by $\big(G_{\omega_{h_b}}|_R\big)_{b\in\underline{B}}$. Now, let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$; then

$$a = a^+_{\rm R} - a^-_{\rm R} + i(a^+_{\rm I} - a^-_{\rm I}) \; , \quad a^+_{\rm R}, a^-_{\rm R}, a^+_{\rm I}, a^-_{\rm I} \in \mathfrak{A}_+ \; .$$

A function $f \in (\mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw})^R$ is continuous iff $f(\cdot)(a) : R \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. By linearity it follows, using the decomposition above, that $f \in (\mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw})^R$ is continuous iff $f(\cdot)(a_+)$ is continuous for any $a_+ \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. For any $b \in \underline{B}$, define

$$G^{a_+}_{\omega_{h_b}}|_R : R \to \mathbb{C} \quad , \quad G^{a_+}_{\omega_{h_b}}|_R(r) = G_{\omega_{h_b}}(r)(a_+) \ .$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any $a_+ \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ and $(R_0, \sigma) \subset (X, \sigma)$ a symplectic subspace, $G^{a_+}_{\omega_{h_b}}|_{R_0}$ is the generating functional of the normal state $\mathbb{E}^{\omega_{h_b}}_{h_b,2}(a_+)|_{\mathbf{W}_{h_b}(R_0,\sigma)}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, since ω_{h_b} is regular then the restricted states

$$\mathbb{E}^{\omega_{h_b}}_{h_b,2}(a_+)|_{\mathbf{W}_{h_b}(R_0,\sigma)}$$

are normal with respect to the Schrödinger representation. It is easy to check that, for any $r \in R_0$,

$$G_{\omega_{h_b}}(r)(a_+) = G_{\mathbb{E}^{\omega_{h_b}}_{h_b,2}(a_+)}(r) \ .$$

⊣

As in Proposition 3.1, from now on we will denote by

$$(\psi^{(\lambda)}_\delta)_{\delta\in(0,1)} \subset C^\infty_0(X'_\lambda) \tag{7}$$

a mollifying sequence.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F} \subset 2^X$ be a family of finite dimensional symplectic subspaces such that $\bigcup_{\lambda\in F} X_\lambda = X$, and $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta \to 0$, a net of regular states on $(\mathfrak{W}_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ such that*

$$\sup_{\beta\in B} \omega_{h_\beta}\big(W_{h_\beta}(0)\big) < \infty \ .$$

In addition, suppose that $(\mathfrak{h}_{h_b})_{b\in\underline{B}} \subset H_B$, $h_b \to 0$, is $\mathfrak{P}_F$-convergent, with limit $(\mu_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}$ (see Lemma 3.2). If uniformly with respect to $(h_b)_{b\in\underline{B}}$,

$$\forall\lambda \in F\,,\ \forall x \in X_\lambda\,,\ \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}_+\,,\ \Big|H^{(\lambda)}_{\omega_{h_b}}(a)\big(\psi^{(\lambda)}_\delta * e^{ix(\cdot)}\big) - \varrho_{h_b}\big(W_{h_b}(x)\otimes a\big)\Big| \le o_\delta(1)\ ,$$

then $G_{\omega_{h_b}} \to g$, with

$$g|_{X_\lambda} \in C(X_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}'_{\rm uw})\ ,\ g|_{X_\lambda} = \hat\mu_\lambda \ .$$

Proof. For any $\lambda \in F$, $g|_{X_\lambda}$ is continuous iff $g|_{X_\lambda}(a_+) \in C(X_\lambda, \mathbb{C})$ for any $a_+ \in \mathfrak{A}_+$. Let us consider the net $(G^{a_+}_{\omega_{h_b}}|_{X_\lambda})_{b\in\underline{B}}$. By Lemma 3.4 it is the family of generating functionals of the net of normal states $\big(\mathbb{E}^{\omega_{h_b}}_{h_b,2}(a_+)|_{\mathbf{W}_{h_b}(X_\lambda,\sigma)}\big)_{b\in\underline{B}}$ on $\big(\mathbf{W}_{h_b}(X_\lambda,\sigma)\big)_{b\in\underline{B}}$. Therefore by Proposition 3.1 it follows that it converges to $g|_{X_\lambda}(a_+) = \hat\mu_\lambda(a_+) \in C(X_\lambda, \mathbb{C})$. ⊣

Hence we have proved that given a net of regular states that "descends" in the deformation, with uniformly bounded masses, and a family $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}$ of finite

dimensional subspaces of X, there is always at least one family of Radon vector measures, on each X'_λ, associated to it. In addition, if $\bigcup_{\lambda\in F} X_\lambda = X$, and each measure μ_λ does not lose mass, then the corresponding net of generating maps converges, and the limit is ultraweakly continuous when restricted to the finite dimensional subsets $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}$. Let us formulate this generalization of Proposition 3.1 to spaces of arbitrary dimension as a theorem. Its implications will be discussed at length in the next section.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (X,σ) be a real vector space with a symplectic form, $\mathfrak{A}$ a C*-algebra, and $(\mathfrak{W}_h)_{h\geq 0}$ the corresponding Weyl deformation (1). For any net of regular states $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta \to 0$, such that*

$$\exists m \in \mathbb{R}^+ \ , \ \sup_{\beta\in B} \omega_{h_\beta}(1) = m \ ,$$

and for any collection $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}$ of finite dimensional symplectic subspaces of X, there exists a nonempty set of cluster points for H_B in the $\mathfrak{P}_F$ product topology, and any cluster point is identified with a family $(\mu_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}$ of finite $\mathfrak{A}'_+$-valued Radon measures on each X'_λ.

In addition, there exists a nonempty set of cluster points of the family of generating maps $(G_{\omega_{h_\beta}})_{\beta\in B}$. Each cluster point g satisfies:

$$\sum_{j,k\in J} g(x_j - x_k)(a_k^* a_j) \geq 0 \ ;$$

where the $x_j \in V$ are arbitrary as well as the $a_j \in \mathfrak{A}$, and J is any finite index set. If $\bigcup_{\lambda\in F} X_\lambda = X$, $\mathfrak{h}_{h_b} \to_{\mathfrak{P}} (\mu_\lambda)_{\lambda\in F}$, and

$$\forall\lambda\in F\ ,\ \forall x\in X_\lambda\ ,\ \forall a\in\mathfrak{A}_+\ ,\ \left|H^{(\lambda)}_{\omega_{h_b}}(a)\big(\psi^{(\lambda)}_\delta * e^{ix(\cdot)}\big) - \varrho_{h_b}\big(W_{h_b}(x)\otimes a\big)\right| \leq o_\delta(1)\ ,$$

then $G_{\omega_{h_b}} \to g$ and

$$\forall\lambda\in F\ ,\ g|_{X_\lambda} = \hat{\mu}_\lambda \in C(X_\lambda, \mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})\ .$$

The $(\psi^{(\lambda)}_\delta)_{\delta\in(0,1)}$ are mollifiers defined in (7).

Corollary 3.7. *If $F = \mathbb{N}$, and the resulting sequence $(X_n,\sigma)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of symplectic subspaces of (X,σ) satisfies $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n = X$, then from any net $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ it is possible to extract a* subsequence *$(\omega_{h_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \mathfrak{h}_{h_j} = \mathfrak{h}\ ,\ \lim_{j\to\infty} G_{\omega_{h_j}} = g\ .$$

Proof. The proof is the standard diagonal trick. Let us prove it for the generating map G. On X_0, it is possible to extract the subsequence $h^0_{\beta_k}$ such that $G_{\omega_{h^0_{\beta_k}}}\Big|_{X_0} \to g|_{X_0} \in C(X_0,\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})$. On X_1 it is possible to extract a subsequence $h^1_{\beta_k}$ of $h^0_{\beta_k}$

such that $G_{\omega_{h^1_{\beta_k}}}\Big|_{X_1} \to g|_{X_1} \in C(X_1, \mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})$, and so on. Therefore the diagonal sequence $h_j = h^j_{\beta_j}$ yields the pointwise convergence to $g \in (\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^X$, such that $g|_{X_n} \in C(X_n, \mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ⊣

4. Cylindrical Wigner measures

Theorem 3.6 proves that any family of regular quantum states has at least one classical counterpart. However, it would be suitable to identify such counterparts more explicitly. If X is finite dimensional, the classical characterization given by Proposition 3.1 is quite satisfactory, and we would like to obtain an analogous one for spaces X of arbitrary dimension. If X is infinite dimensional however, the situation is more involved. First of all, there are many inequivalent topologies that are admissible on X, and it is therefore possible to put X in duality with many spaces V in a way such that $X \cong V'$. In this section, we discuss how the duality of linear spaces can be used to identify the classical counterparts of regular states with *cylindrical measures*. Let us recall that a cylindrical measure on a linear space V can be seen equivalently as a finitely additive inner regular measure on the algebra of cylinders (induced by the duality of V and V'), or as a projective family of Borel measures in the space of finite dimensional quotients of V. A concise definition of vector valued cylindrical measures is given in Appendix A.2.2. In the same section, Bochner's theorem is also discussed (Theorem A.17). Thanks to Theorem A.17, it is possible to identify in a unique fashion cylindrical measures with their Fourier transform (or characteristic maps), *i.e.* with completely positive maps on V' that are continuous when restricted to any finite dimensional subspace of V'. In the light of Theorem 3.6, it should be clear why they can be considered as the natural classical counterpart of regular quantum states.

4.1. Dual topologies and the definition of semiclassical measures.

Let W and Y be two real vector spaces. They are in B-duality if there exists a bilinear form $B : W \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$. Given W and Y in B-duality, the duality separates points in W (respectively Y) iff $W \ni w \mapsto B(w, \cdot) \in Y^*$ (respectively $Y \ni y \mapsto B(\cdot, y) \in W^*$) is injective. Let us recall that Z^* denotes the algebraic dual of the linear space Z. The duality between linear spaces induces many important topologies. Before discussing the definition of Wigner measures, let us briefly outline some classical results about duality in linear spaces. Given a linear space W, the algebraic dual W^* is in duality with W, by the canonical bilinear form $B(w, \xi) = \xi(w)$ on $W \times W^*$. In addition, the duality separates points in both W and W^*. Using the injective maps $d_B : y \mapsto B(\cdot, y)$ and $s_B : w \mapsto B(w, \cdot)$, it is often convenient to identify Y or W (in separating duality with W or Y) with a subspace of W^* or Y^*.

In our setting, given a dual pair W and Y we need to characterize the topologies on W that are compatible with the duality, *i.e.* the topologies on W such that d_B is a bijection of Y onto the continuous dual $W' \subset W^*$. It turns out that there are coarsest and finest locally convex topologies on W compatible with the duality. Such topologies are the weak $\sigma(W,Y)$ and the Mackey $\tau(W,Y)$ topology respectively. Mackey's theorem proves that any locally convex topology on W that is compatible with the duality is finer than the weak and coarser than the Mackey topology. The strong topology $\beta(W,Y)$ is in general finer than the Mackey topology and therefore not compatible with the duality. However if W has a given (initial) topology, $\beta(W,W') = \tau(W,W')$ whenever W is barrelled (*e.g.* if W is a Fréchet space). If the topological space W and Y are in compatible duality, the bijection $Y \cong W'$ is implicitly understood.

Let us now consider the setting of Theorem 3.6. As it will become clear in the following, if $X \cong V'$ for some topological real linear space V then we can identify the classical counterpart of regular quantum states with cylindrical measures on V. This can be done, however, in many different ways. First of all, there may be many spaces V for which there exists a $B : V \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ that puts V and X in B-duality, separating points in X (the latter requirement is necessary to have compatible topologies on V). For example X^*, or any of its subspaces that separates points in X. Once we have fixed such V, all the locally convex topologies finer than $\sigma(V,X)$ and coarser than $\tau(V,X)$ are compatible with the identification $X \cong V'$. From the physical perspective, this indicates that there may exist many effective descriptions of a quantum field theory as a classical theory, due to the rich structure of infinite dimensional linear spaces. Since the starting point is the quantum Heisenberg group associated to (X,σ), it could seem natural to choose $V = X^*$ with the coarsest locally convex topology $\sigma(X^*,X)$ as the classical space for the definition of Wigner measures. As we will see, there are situations in which such a choice implies that all the Wigner cylindrical measures are in fact true (Borel) Radon measures.

Let us now introduce the appropriate topologies to prove convergence of regular states to Wigner measures. Let V be a topological space in compatible duality with X. Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_h \subset (\mathfrak{W}_h)'_+$ the set of regular states on $\mathfrak{W}_h$, and by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$ the cone-valued cylindrical measures on V – see Sections A.1 and A.4 of the Appendix, for a precise definition of cone-valued measures and for the admissibility of $\mathfrak{A}'_+$ as a cone. Let us denote the union of the aforementioned sets by

$$S(V,X,\mathfrak{A}) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+) \cup \bigsqcup_{h>0} \mathcal{R}_h \ . \tag{8}$$

Let us denote by $F(V)$ the set of $\sigma(V,V')$-closed subspaces of V with finite codimension. For any $\Phi \in F(V)$, $V' \supset \Phi^\circ \cong (V/\Phi)'$ is its finite dimensional polar space. Finally, given a locally compact real linear space L let us denote by $C_0(L)'_{\mathrm{uw}}$ the continuous dual of the space of its compactly supported continuous functions endowed with the $\sigma\big(C_0(L)', C_0(L)\big)$ topology. It is possible to define a map $\mathscr{H}$ from $S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})$ to $\prod_{\Phi\in F(V)}\big(C_0(V/\Phi)'_{\mathrm{uw}}\big)^{\mathfrak{A}_+}$ in the following way:

$$\mathscr{H}(s) = \begin{cases} \Big(a \mapsto \displaystyle\int_{V/\Phi} \cdot\, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Phi,a}\Big)_{\Phi\in F(V)} & s = M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+) \\ \Big(a \mapsto H^{(\Phi^\circ)}_{\omega_h}(a)(\cdot)\Big)_{\Phi\in F(V)} & s = (\omega_h,h) \in \mathcal{R}_h \times \mathbb{R}^+_0 \end{cases},$$

where $H^{(\Phi^\circ)}_{\omega_h}(a)$ is defined by (3), and $\mathbb{R}^+_0 = \mathbb{R}^+ \smallsetminus \{0\}$. The product topology $\mathfrak{P}_{F(V)}$ on $\prod_{\Phi\in F(V)}\big(C_0(V/\Phi)'_{\mathrm{uw}}\big)^{\mathfrak{A}_+}$, where each $\big(C_0(V/\Phi)'_{\mathrm{uw}}\big)^{\mathfrak{A}_+}$ is endowed with the topology of simple convergence, induces a topology on $S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})$: the preimage topology $\mathfrak{P} = \mathscr{H}^{-1}\mathfrak{P}_{F(V)}$.

On the other hand, by Bochner's theorem A.17 and Proposition 2.7, there is a map $\mathscr{F} : S(V,X,\mathfrak{A}) \to (\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^X$ defined as follows:

$$\mathscr{F}(s) = \begin{cases} \hat{M}(\cdot) & s = M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+) \\ G_{\omega_h}(\cdot) & s = (\omega_h,h) \in \mathcal{R}_h \times \mathbb{R}^+_0 \end{cases}.$$

The topology $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ of simple convergence on $(\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^X$ induces therefore the topology $\mathfrak{T} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ on $S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})$. Finally, let us denote by $\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}$ the upper bound topology, *i.e.* the coarsest topology on $S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})$ that is finer than both $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{T}$. Hence Theorem 3.6 can be reformulated as a convergence result, in suitable topologies, for generalized sequences of regular quantum states, as $h \to 0$. In order to do that, we have only to take into account the projective structure induced by $F(V)$.

Let $F_\sigma(X)$ be the set of all finite dimensional symplectic subspaces of X. First of all, let us remark that given any finite dimensional vector subspace R of X, there is always an $X_R \in F_\sigma(X)$ such that R is a (closed) subspace of X_R. Therefore if $g \in (\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^X$ is continuous when restricted to every symplectic subspace in $F_\sigma(X)$, then it is continuous when restricted to every finite dimensional subspace. In addition, let V be a topological real vector space in compatible duality with X; then the set $F^\circ_\sigma(X) = \{\Xi^\circ, \Xi \in F_\sigma(X)\}$, where the polar is taken with respect to the duality between X and V, is the subset of $F(V)$ consisting of the weakly closed subspaces with *even* codimension. If we partially order the set $F(V)$ by $\supset$, then $F^\circ_\sigma(X)$ is a *cofinal* subset of $F(V)$. In Section 3.2, given a net of regular states

$(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ on $(\mathfrak{W}_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, we defined the family of precompact and nonempty sets

$$\big(H_B(\Phi)\big)_{\Phi\in F_\sigma^\circ(X)} = \Big(\prod_{a\in\mathfrak{A}_+}\big\{H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a),\beta\in B\big\}\Big)_{\Phi\in F_\sigma^\circ(X)}\,,$$

by Equations (3) and (4), with $X_\Phi=\Phi^\circ$; and since the definition of $H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a)$ can be extended to any $\Phi\in F(V)$, we can also define the family

$$\big(H_B(\Phi)\big)_{\Phi\in F(V)} = \Big(\prod_{a\in\mathfrak{A}_+}\big\{H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a),\beta\in B\big\}\Big)_{\Phi\in F(V)}\,.$$

In addition, if $R\subset X$ is a finite dimensional vector subspace of X, we define the subalgebra $\mathbf{W}_h(R)\subset\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ by

$$\mathbf{W}_h(R)=\mathrm{C}^*(\{W_h(x),x\in R\})\,.$$

By this definition, it follows that for any $a\in\mathfrak{A}_+$ and $\Phi\in F(V)$,

$$H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a) = H_{\omega_{h_\beta}\big|_{\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(\Phi^\circ)\otimes\gamma_{h_\beta}\mathfrak{A}}}(a)\,,$$

where the latter is defined by (2). For any $\Phi\supset\Psi\in F(V)$, let us now introduce the (continuous) maps $\pi_{\Phi\Psi}:H_B(\Psi)\to H_B(\Phi)$:

$$\pi_{\Phi\Psi}\Big(a\mapsto H^{(\Psi)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a)\Big)=a\mapsto H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_\beta}}(a)\,,$$

where the definition is justified by the fact that Φ° is injected canonically in Ψ° by the map ${}^tp_\Psi\circ{}^tp_{\Phi\Psi}\circ{}^tp_\Phi^{-1}$, where $p_\Phi:V\to V/\Phi$ is the canonical map and $p_{\Phi\Psi}:V/\Psi\to V/\Phi$ is the map obtained from the identity passing to the quotients. It is easy to see that $p_{\Phi\Omega}=p_{\Phi\Psi}\circ p_{\Psi\Omega}$ for any $\Phi\supset\Psi\supset\Omega\in F(V)$. Therefore the families

$$\big(H_B(\Phi),\pi_{\Phi\Psi}\big)_{\Phi\supset\Psi\in F_\sigma^\circ(X)}\,,\ \big(H_B(\Phi),\pi_{\Phi\Psi}\big)_{\Phi\supset\Psi\in F(V)}$$

are projective systems with cofinal index set and thus share the same projective limit. In addition, the limit is nonempty and precompact since $H_B(\Phi)$ is nonempty and precompact for any $\Phi\in F_\sigma^\circ(X)$. Therefore for $F=F_\sigma(X)$, the set H_B of Lemma 3.2 can be restricted to the projective limit

$$H_B=\varprojlim H_B(\Phi)\,.$$

It then follows by Theorem 3.6 that the $\mathfrak{P}$ cluster points of nets of regular states are *projective families* of Radon measures on the finite dimensional quotients of V, and therefore cylindrical measures. A convergent net $\omega_{h_b}\to_{\mathfrak{P}}M$, that satisfies

$$\forall\Phi\in F_\sigma^\circ(X)\,,\ \forall x\in\Phi^\circ\,,\ \forall a\in\mathfrak{A}_+\,,\ \Big|H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_b}}(a)\big(\psi^{(\Phi)}_\delta * e^{ix(\cdot)}\big)-\varrho_{h_b}\big(W_{h_b}(x)\otimes a\big)\Big|\le o_\delta(1)\,,$$

yields the convergence $\omega_{h_b}\to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}}M$. From a practical point of view, it is easier to study the semiclassical behavior of quantum states satisfying the bound above,

since it is more convenient to characterize the limit measure by means of the quantum generating map and classical Fourier transform.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X,σ) be a real vector space with a symplectic form, $\mathfrak{A}$ a C^*-algebra, and $(\mathfrak{W}_h)_{h\geq 0}$ the corresponding Weyl deformation (1). In addition, let V be any topological space in compatible duality with X. Consider a uniformly bounded net $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta \to 0$, of regular states acting on $(\mathfrak{W}_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$. Then there exists a subnet $(\omega_{h_b})_{b\in \underline{B}}$ such that*

$$\omega_{h_b} \to_{\mathfrak{P}} M \ , \tag{9}$$

where $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\rm cyl}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+)$ is a cylindrical measure. In other words, if we denote by $\mathscr{W}(\omega_{h_\beta}, \beta\in B)$ the set of cluster points of $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B} \subset S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})_{\mathfrak{P}}$, then $s \in \mathscr{W}(\omega_{h_\beta},\beta\in B)$ implies $s\in \mathcal{M}_{\rm cyl}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+)$. If in addition there is no loss of mass, i.e. *for any $\Phi \in F^\circ_\sigma(X)$,*

$$\forall x\in\Phi^\circ\ ,\ \forall a\in\mathfrak{A}_+\ ,\ \left| H^{(\Phi)}_{\omega_{h_b}}(a)\big(\psi^{(\Phi)}_\delta * e^{ix(\cdot)}\big) - \varrho_{h_b}\big(W_{h_b}(x)\otimes a\big)\right| \leq o_\delta(1)\ , \tag{10}$$

then

$$\omega_{h_b} \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M \ . \tag{11}$$

The cylindrical measures are not countably additive Borel measures, even if each countably additive Borel measure defines a cylindrical measure. A cylindrical measure on the topological space V is a projective system of Borel measures on finite dimensional vector spaces, indexed by the weakly closed subspaces of V of finite codimension [see Appendix A.2.2; or 14, 36, for a more detailed discussion]. Let us denote by $F(V)$ the set of $\sigma(V,V')$-closed subspaces with finite codimension. The set $F(V)$ is identified uniquely by the finite dimensional subspaces of V'. In fact, $\Phi\in F(V)$ iff there exists a finite dimensional subspace $F\subset V'$ such that $F^\circ=\Phi$, where F° is the polar (orthogonal) of F (with respect to the canonical duality between V and V'). The *if* part is proved as follows: the bipolar $F^{\circ\circ}$ is isomorphic to V/Φ, and it is also the closure of F with respect to the $\sigma(V',V)$ topology; since the duality between V and V' separates points in V', it follows that the finite dimensional subspace F is closed with respect to $\sigma(V',V)$, and therefore $F=F^{\circ\circ}$, thus proving $\Phi\in F(V)$. The *only if* part is proved as follows: let $\Phi\in F(V)$, and choose $F=\Phi^\circ$; then $F^\circ=\Phi^{\circ\circ}$ is the closure of Φ with respect to the $\sigma(V,V')$ topology, and therefore $\Phi^{\circ\circ}=\Phi$ since $\Phi\in F(V)$. This motivates the fact that it is the space X that determines the behavior of cylindrical measures, notwithstanding the possibility of choosing the measurable space V in many different ways.

To sum up, the bounded families of *regular* quantum states always have classical counterparts, and these classical counterparts can be identified in multiple ways with cylindrical measures on a topological space V. We call such measures the cylindrical Wigner (or semiclassical) measures. On the other hand, families of non-regular states cannot be identified, in general, with cylindrical measures, *e.g.* because their generating map is not ultraweakly continuous on every finite dimensional subspace. Therefore non-regular states are not suitable for a classical effective description. From a physical standpoint this is not unreasonable, since non-regular states appear in relation to typically quantum physical behaviors, such as infrared divergence [see e.g. 1].

4.2. **Phase space and Wigner measures.** The symplectic space (X, σ) has a natural interpretation in physics, especially when finite dimensional, as the phase space of a classical theory. The phase space is extremely useful, either to study dynamical properties (Hamiltonian flows), or as the measurable space of classical statistical mechanics.

The usual Wigner measures for finite-dimensional Heisenberg groups can be interpreted straightforwardly as (probability) measures on the phase space, since (X, σ) is in compatible duality with itself whenever $\dim X < \infty$. For infinite-dimensional Heisenberg groups, it is possible only in few favorable cases, that may be called *admissible phase spaces*. Explicitly, Wigner measures are cylindrical measures in the phase space if X is a topological space in compatible duality with itself. Interestingly enough, this allows to consider the geometric phase spaces constructed from reflexive spaces (seen as topological manifolds). Given a *reflexive* locally convex space Σ, its cotangent bundle $\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma \cong \Sigma \oplus \Sigma'$ is a symplectic space with the canonical symplectic form. Since Σ is reflexive, $\Sigma \oplus \Sigma'$ is in compatible duality with itself, and therefore $\mathrm{T}^*\Sigma$ is an admissible phase space.

4.3. **Cylindrical Wigner measures as Radon measures.** Cylindrical measures are not completely satisfactory from a practical standpoint. Integration of functions with respect to cylindrical measures is possible only if the functions are cylindrical as well. It is possible to interpret cylindrical measures on V as Radon measures only on a space that is "bigger" than V. This is done exploiting Prokhorov's tightness of the projective family of measures [36]. For any $\Phi \in F(V)$, let us consider the Čech compactification $\overline{V/\Phi}$ of V/Φ. From the canonical injection $j_\Phi : V/\Phi \to \overline{V/\Phi}$ it is possible to construct a canonical injection, let us call it j, of V into the product space

$$\overline{V} = \prod_{\Phi \in F(V)} \overline{V/\Phi} \,.$$

We endow $\overline{V}$ with the product topology. The push-forward $\overline{M} = j_* M$ of any $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$ is defined as the family $\overline{M} = (j_{\Phi *}\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi \in F(V)}$. It is a tight projective family of measures on $\overline{V}$, and therefore it originates from a finite Borel Radon measure $\mu_{\overline{M}}$. It follows that the set of cylindrical measures on V can be identified with a subset of finite Radon measures on $\overline{V}$. If M does not originate from a Radon measure on V then $\mu_{\overline{M}}(j(V)) \neq m$ (where $m = \mu(\overline{V})$ is the total mass of the measure), and there are cylindrical measures for which $\mu_{\overline{M}}(j(V)) = 0$.

As it will be proved in the next section, every cylindrical measure, on any space V in compatible duality with X, is the Wigner measure of at least one generalized sequence of regular quantum states. Therefore cylindrical measures that are not Radon measures play an important role in the semiclassical description. In other words, the spaces $\overline{V}$, rather than V or X, are the most suitable candidates to accommodate a complete classical description of field theories (as classical probability theories). The non-uniqueness of $\overline{V}$ may seem on one hand disappointing, on the other hand it allows for additional freedom in the choice of classical effective description. We previously mentioned that there seems to be one "preferred" choice of V, *i.e.* choosing it to be the algebraic dual X^* of X, with the $\sigma(X^*, X)$ topology. A classical result [17, II.54, Proposition 9] let us identify $\big(X^*, \sigma(X^*, X)\big)$ with the Hausdorff completion of $\big(V, \sigma(V, X)\big)$ for any V and X in a duality that separates points of both V and X. Finally, for any V in a compatible duality with X that separates points in V, there is a bijection [36]

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(X^*, \mathfrak{A}'_+)\ . \tag{12}$$

The bijection (12) becomes of particular importance whenever X is a second countable topological space. In fact, in such case any cylindrical measure originates from a Radon measure: $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(X^*, \mathfrak{A}'_+) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rad}}(X^*, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$, where the latter is the set of all finite Borel Radon measures on $\big(X^*, \sigma(X^*, X)\big)$. The Wigner measures are then exactly the finite Borel Radon measures on X^*. Since the Heisenberg groups most commonly used in quantum field theory are associated to second countable symplectic spaces (*e.g* separable Hilbert spaces), X^* is indeed a very good candidate to be the "right" measurable space for the classical effective theory. Let us remark that also for second countable X Wigner measures can be seen as Radon measures on $\overline{X^*}$, however they are precisely the set of measures that are concentrated as Borel Radon measures on X^*.

4.4. **Characterization of the set of all Wigner measures $\mathscr{W}$.** As anticipated in Section 4.3, any cylindrical measure can be a Wigner measure. To prove this very interesting result, let us define the set $\mathscr{W}$ of all possible Wigner measures associated to the Weyl deformation with maximal cross norm. Let us denote the

aforementioned Weyl deformation by $(\mathfrak{W}_h^{\mathrm{m}})_{h>0} = \big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma) \otimes_{\gamma_{\max}} \mathfrak{A}\big)_{h>0}$. Then, using the notation introduced in Theorem 4.1, the set of all Wigner measures is defined as

$$\mathscr{W} = \bigcup_{\underline{h}\in\mathbb{R}_0^+} \bigcup_{(\omega_h)_{h\in(0,\underline{h})}\in 2^{S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})}} \mathscr{W}\big(\omega_h, h\in(0,\underline{h})\big)\ .$$

The aim is to prove, for any V in compatible duality with X, $\mathscr{W} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+)$. By Theorem 4.1, it suffices to prove that $\mathscr{W} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+)$, *i.e.* that for any cylindrical measure M there exists a net of regular quantum states $(\omega_h^{(M)})_{h\in(0,\underline{h})}$ such that $\omega_h^{(M)} \to_{\mathfrak{T}} M$ on $S(V,X,\mathfrak{A})$.

We make use of the following result of standard semiclassical analysis. Its proof relies on the fact that squeezed coherent states on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ converge to measures concentrated on a point of $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$; and that linear combinations of point measures are dense in the space of finite measures $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{2d},\mathbb{C})$, endowed with the weak topology [see 21, for additional details]. The extension to general finite dimensional symplectic spaces (R,σ) and to tensor products $\mathbf{W}_h(R,\sigma)\otimes_{\gamma_{\max}}\mathfrak{A}$ does not present difficulties.

Lemma 4.2. *Let R_0 be a finite dimensional real vector space with a symplectic form σ. For any $\mu\in\mathcal{M}(R_0',\mathfrak{A}'_+)$, there exists a net of measures $(\tilde{\varrho}_h)_{h\in(0,1)}$, $h\to 0$, such that for any $h\in(0,1)$, $\tilde{\varrho}_h \in \big(\mathbf{W}_h(R_0,\sigma)\otimes_{\gamma_{\max}}\mathfrak{A}\big)'_+$ is normal,*

$$\|\tilde{\varrho}_h\|_{R_0} := \tilde{\varrho}_h\big(W_h(0)\otimes 1\big) = \|\hat{M}(0)\|_{\mathfrak{A}'}\ ,$$

and

$$\tilde{\varrho}_h \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} \mu$$

on $S(R_0',R_0,\mathfrak{A})$.

It is then sufficient to combine Lemma 4.2 with a projective argument, obtaining the following theorem for any topological vector space V in compatible duality with the real symplectic space (X,σ).

Theorem 4.3. $\mathscr{W} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+) : \forall M\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathfrak{A}'_+)\,,\ \exists\,\omega_h^{(M)},\ \omega_h^{(M)} \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M.$

Proof. Let us start with the simpler case where $X = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} X_m$, for a countable directed sequence of finite dimensional symplectic subspaces $(X_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, ordered by inclusion. The family $(X_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, together with the identities $i_{mn} : X_m \to X_n$, $m\le n$ is an inductive family of finite dimensional vector spaces. Therefore $\varinjlim X_m = X$, and

$$(\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^X = \varprojlim (\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^{X_m}\ . \tag{13}$$

Now given a cylindrical measure $M = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi\in F(V)}$, the subfamily $(\mu_{X_m^\circ})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sufficient to characterize it completely (the polar is intended with respect to the

duality between V and X). In fact, $\hat{M} : X \to \mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}}$ can be characterized uniquely by the projective family of restrictions $(\hat{M}|_{X_m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Consider X_0. We define the following set of generating maps, corresponding to *regular* states on $\mathbf{W}_h(X_0,\sigma)\otimes_{\gamma_{\max}}\mathfrak{A}$:

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu_{X_0^\circ}) = \{G_{\varrho_h}\ ,\ h\in(0,1)\ ,\ \varrho_h \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} \mu_{X_0^\circ}\}\ .$$

From $\mathcal{G}(\mu_{X_0^\circ})$, construct (recursively) the compatible projective family of generating maps of regular states $\left(\mathcal{G}_m, \imath_{mn}\right)_{m\leq n\in\mathbb{N}}$, defined as follows. $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}(\mu_{X_0^\circ})$, and for any $m>0$

$$\mathcal{G}_m = \{G_{\varrho_h}\ , h\in(0,1)\ , G_{\varrho_h}|_{X_{m-1}} \in \mathcal{G}_{m-1}\}\ .$$

The *surjective* maps $\imath_{mn} : \mathcal{G}_n \to \mathcal{G}_m\ \ , m\leq n$, are defined by $\imath_{mn}(G_{\varrho_h}) = G_{\varrho_h}\circ i_{mn}$, where i_{mn} are the identities defined above for the inductive family $(X_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. It is easy to prove that for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists $(\varrho_h^{(m)})_{h\in(0,1)}\subset\mathcal{G}_m$ such that $\varrho_h^{(m)} \to_{\mathfrak{T}} \mu_{X_m^\circ}$. For $m=0$ it is true by definition, and for any $m>0$ it follows from the fact that for any $h\in(0,1)$, $G_{\tilde{\varrho}_h}|_{X_{m-1}}\in\mathcal{G}_{m-1}$, where $(\tilde{\varrho}_h)_{h\in(0,1)}$ is the convergent net of Lemma 4.2. Therefore, *a fortiori*, any $\mathcal{G}_m$ is non-empty. By (13) and [15, III.58 Proposition 5], the projective limit $\mathcal{G} = \varprojlim \mathcal{G}_m$ is a non-empty set of nets of generating maps $(G_{\omega_h})_{h\in(0,1)}$ of regular states on $(\mathfrak{W}^{\mathrm{m}}_h)_{h\in(0,1)}$ (with maximal cross norm on the tensor products). In addition, by the reasoning above, there exists $(G_{\omega_h^{(M)}})_{h\in(0,1)}\subset\mathcal{G}$ such that $\omega_h^{(M)} \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M$.

In the general case, it is possible to follow the same guidelines. Given a finite dimensional symplectic subspace $R_0\subset X$, it is always possible to find a family $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\aleph(V)}$ of finite dimensional symplectic subspaces – where $\aleph(V)$ is some directed set with partial order $\leq$ and least element 0 – such that $X_0 = R_0$, $X_\eta\subset X_\lambda$ for any $\eta\leq\lambda\in\aleph(V)$, and $\bigcup_{\lambda\in\aleph(V)} X_\lambda = X$. Therefore $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\aleph(V)}$ is an inductive family, and the following identity holds:

$$(\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^X_{\mathrm{s}} = \varprojlim (\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^{X_\lambda}_{\mathrm{s}}\ . \tag{14}$$

In addition, any cylindrical measure $M = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi\in F(V)}$ can be equivalently characterized by the family of restricted Fourier transforms $(\hat{M}|_{X_\lambda})_{\lambda\in\aleph(V)}$. Similarly to the countable case, we define the set of generating maps of regular states

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \left\{G_{\varrho_h}\ ,\ h\in(0,1)\ ,\ \varrho_h\to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}}\mu_{X_0'}\ , \|\varrho_h\|_{X_0} = \|\hat{M}(0)\|_{\mathfrak{A}'}\right\},$$

where $\|\cdot_h\|_{X_0}$ is the norm of the Banach space $\left(\mathbf{W}_h(X_0,\sigma)\otimes_{\gamma_{\max}}\mathfrak{A}\right)'$. The set $\mathcal{G}_0\subset(\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^{X_0}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is precompact and non-empty, by Banach-Alaoglu's theorem and Lemma 4.2. Recursively, we define the sets $\mathcal{G}_\lambda\subset(\mathfrak{A}'_{\mathrm{uw}})^{X_\lambda}_{\mathrm{s}}$, $\lambda\in\aleph(V)$, by

$$\mathcal{G}_\lambda = \left\{G_{\varrho_h}\ , h\in(0,1)\ , \forall\eta\leq\lambda\ ,\ G_{\varrho_h}|_{X_\eta}\in\mathcal{G}_\eta\right\}.$$

The sets $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ are all precompact and non-empty as well. In addition, the projections $\imath_{\lambda\eta} : \mathcal{G}_\eta \to \mathcal{G}_\lambda$, $\lambda \leq \eta$ defined by $\imath_{\lambda\eta}(G_{\varrho_h}) = G_{\varrho_h} \circ i_{X_\lambda X_\eta}$ are continuous. Therefore the projective system $(\mathcal{G}_\lambda, \imath_{\lambda\eta})_{\lambda\leq\eta\in\aleph(V)}$ has a non-empty limit [16], and $\mathcal{G} = \varprojlim \mathcal{G}_\lambda$ is a set of generating maps $(G_{\omega_h})_{h\in(0,1)}$ of regular states on $(\mathfrak{W}_h^{\mathrm{m}})_{h\in(0,1)}$. In addition, as in the countable case, there exists $(G_{\omega_h^{(M)}})_{h\in(0,1)} \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $\omega_h^{(M)} \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M$. ⊣

5. States on the C*-algebra of almost periodic functions

In Section 4 we discussed how it is possible to identify the limit points of bounded generalized sequences of regular states, as $h \to 0$, with cylindrical measures on some topological vector space. In this section we provide an alternative identification, that is perhaps more natural from the point of view of deformation theory, but less interesting for the purposes of semiclassical analysis.

In the definition of the Weyl deformation $(\mathfrak{W}_h)_{h\geq 0}$, the C*-algebra at $h = 0$ is the tensor product of $\mathfrak{A}$ with an abelian C*-algebra of almost periodic functions. Let G be a topological abelian group, let us denote by $\mathbf{AP}(G)$ the algebra of (continuous) almost periodic functions. It has the following characterization:

$$\mathbf{AP}(G) = \mathrm{C}^*\{\hat{G}\} \ ,$$

where the completion is intended with respect to the supremum norm. $\hat{G}$ stands for the character group of G, *i.e.* for the set of continuous group homomorphisms from G to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}_1^\times = \big(\{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}, \times\big)$. Consider now a topological vector space V as an abelian topological group with respect to the addition operation. There is a natural subalgebra $\mathbf{LAP}(V) \subset \mathbf{AP}(V)$, defined as

$$\mathbf{LAP}(V) = \mathrm{C}^*\big\{e^{i\xi(\cdot)} \ , \ \xi \in V'\big\} \ .$$

Therefore the $h = 0$ algebra of the Weyl deformation defined in (1) can be identified as follows: $\mathfrak{W}_0 \cong \mathbf{LAP}(V) \otimes_{\gamma_0} \mathfrak{A}$ for any topological vector space V in compatible duality with X. The idea is to show that the cylindrical measures $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$ can be identified with states of $\mathfrak{W}_0$ whenever $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{\max}$, *i.e.* as (positive) elements of $(\mathbf{LAP}(V) \otimes_{\gamma_{\max}} \mathfrak{A})'$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let V be a locally convex space in compatible duality with X. In addition, let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra; and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$. Then there exists a completely positive map $\mathscr{F}_M \in \mathcal{B}\big(\mathbf{LAP}(V), \mathfrak{A}'\big)$.*

Proof. Let us define the map $\mathscr{F}_M$ by its action on the generators: let $x \in \Phi^\circ \subset X$,

$$\mathscr{F}_M(e^{ix(\cdot)}) = \int_{V/\Phi} e^{ix(v)} d\mu_\Phi(v) = \hat{M}(x) \ .$$

It then follows that $\mathscr{F}_M$ is linear. Let

$$f_n(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j e^{ix_j(\cdot)}$$

be a complex linear combination of generators, then

$$\mathscr{F}_M(f_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j \hat{M}(x_j) = \int_{V/\Phi_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j e^{ix_j(v)} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\Phi_n}(v) \ ,$$

where $\Phi_n \in F(V)$ is such that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset \Phi_n^\circ$. Using the corresponding result for standard measures $\mu_{\Phi_n,\kappa}$ (see Appendix A for the notation), it is not difficult to prove that

$$\Big\| \mathscr{F}_M(f_n) \Big\|_{\mathfrak{A}'} \leq \|f_n\|_\infty \|\hat{M}(0)\|_{\mathfrak{A}'} \ ,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm. Now let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence with respect to the supremum norm, that converges to $f \in \mathbf{LAP}(V)$. Then it is possible to define $\mathscr{F}_M(f)$ as the strong limit of $\mathscr{F}_M(f_n)$ in $\mathfrak{A}'$, and

$$\Big\| \mathscr{F}_M(f) \Big\|_{\mathfrak{A}'} \leq \|f\|_\infty \|\hat{M}(0)\|_{\mathfrak{A}'} \ .$$

Finally, $\mathscr{F}_M$ is completely positive since it is completely positive on linear combinations of generators by Bochner's theorem A.17. ⊣

Corollary 5.2. *If $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{\max}$, then by means of the map $\mathscr{F}_M$ it is possible to associate a state $\Omega_M \in \big(\mathbf{LAP}(V) \otimes_{\gamma_{\max}} \mathfrak{A}\big)'_+$ to any cylindrical measure $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$:*

$$\Omega_M = (\mathbb{E}_{0,1})^{-1} \mathscr{F}_M \ .$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, we can associate to M the map $\mathscr{F}_M$, and the latter is a completely positive element of $\mathcal{B}\big(\mathbf{LAP}(V), \mathfrak{A}'\big)$. Therefore by Proposition 2.3, $\Omega_M = (\mathbb{E}_{0,1})^{-1}\mathscr{F}_M$ is a state. ⊣

Finally, the application of Tietze's extension theorem yields an extension $\tilde{\Omega}_M \in \big(\mathbf{AP}(V) \otimes_{\gamma_{\max}} \mathfrak{A}\big)'_+$ of Ω_M.

Proposition 5.3. *Let (X, σ) be a real vector space with a symplectic form, and V a locally convex space in compatible duality with X. In addition, let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C^*-algebra, and $(\mathfrak{W}_h)_{h\geq 0}$ the Weyl deformation defined in (1). Consider a bounded net of regular states $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta \to 0$,* i.e. *there exists $m > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\beta\in B} \omega_{h_\beta}(1) = m \ .$$

Then for any $M \in \mathscr{W}(\omega_{h_\beta}, \beta \in B) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V, \mathfrak{A}'_+)$, $\Omega_M = (\mathbb{E}_{0,1})^{-1}\mathscr{F}_M$ is a state on $\mathbf{LAP}(V) \otimes_{\gamma_{\max}} \mathfrak{A}$. In addition, the state Ω_M can be extended continuously to a state $\tilde{\Omega}_M \in \big(\mathbf{AP}(V) \otimes_{\gamma_{\max}} \mathfrak{A}\big)'_+$.

Remark 5.4. In general, the state Ω_M may have a mass defect, *i.e.* $\Omega_M(1) \neq \lim_{h_b} \omega_{h_b}(1)$. However, if the converging sequence $(\omega_{h_b})_{b\in\underline{B}}$ satisfies the bound (10) of Theorem 4.1, then $\Omega_M(1) = \lim_{h_b} \omega_{h_b}(1)$.

6. Push-forward and convolution of Wigner measures

In this section we study transformations on the cylindrical Wigner measures induced by transformations of the regular quantum states. Throughout the section, we set $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}$ for simplicity.

6.1. **Group homomorphisms.** Endomorphisms of $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$ – and perhaps more interestingly endomorphisms of $\pi\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big)''$ (its bicommutant in some irreducible representation) – play a crucial role in defining quantum dynamical systems. Therefore, it is interesting to study the semiclassical action induced by *-homomorphisms in Weyl algebras. A systematic study of *-homomorphisms would require, at least to some extent, the development of pseudodifferential calculus for infinite dimensional phase spaces, and it is beyond the scope of this work. Egorov-type theorems have been proved for bosonic quantum field theories in [2, 3], and for mean field systems in [5, 7, 8, 23, 24]. We restrict our attention to *-homomorphisms induced by a class of central Heisenberg group homomorphisms. In this way it is possible to characterize the induced semiclassical action on cylindrical Wigner measures in a natural way.

Let $(\underline{X},\underline{\sigma})$ and (X,σ) be two symplectic spaces. For any $\tilde{f} \in X^{\underline{X}\times\mathbb{R}}$ and $\tilde{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{\underline{X}\times\mathbb{R}}$,

$$(\tilde{f},\tilde{F}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}\big(\mathbf{H}(\underline{X},\underline{\sigma}),\mathbf{H}(X,\sigma)\big)$$

iff for any $\varphi,\psi \in \underline{X}\times\mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(\varphi\cdot\psi) &= \tilde{f}(\varphi)+\tilde{f}(\psi)\\ \tilde{F}(\varphi\cdot\psi) &= \tilde{F}(\varphi)+\tilde{F}(\psi)-\sigma(\tilde{f}(\varphi),\tilde{f}(\psi))\ .\end{aligned}$$

In addition, $(\tilde{f},\tilde{F})$ is central if for any $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{f}(0,t)=0$. Among the central homomorphisms, we restrict our attention to the ones of the following form: there exists $f \in X^{\underline{X}}$ such that $\tilde{f}(\underline{x},t) = f(\underline{x})$. Let us denote such homomorphisms by $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$. On the Weyl C*-algebra representation $\mathbf{W}_h(\underline{X},\sigma)$ of the Heisenberg group, the induced action of $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ is then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{F}}\big(e^{it}W_h(\underline{x})\big) = e^{i\tilde{F}(\underline{x},t)}W_h(f(\underline{x}))\,,\quad \forall\underline{x}\in\underline{X}\ ,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}\ .$$

As it will become clear in the following, it is useful to consider h-dependent homomorphisms of the form $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_h = (f,\tilde{F}_h)$. In order to obtain a linear transformation on the Weyl C*-algebra, we "forget" the t-dependence of $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_h$ setting $F_h(x) = \tilde{F}_h(x,0)$,

and consider the associated homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_h = (f, F_h)$. Since $\mathbb{F}_h$ does not act on scalars anymore, it can be extended to a (isometric) *-homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_h \in {}^*\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(\underline{X},\underline{\sigma}), \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big) \ .$$

By duality, the adjoint map ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_h \in \mathcal{B}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)', \mathbf{W}_h(\underline{X},\underline{\sigma})'\big)$. The action of ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_h$ on a regular state $\omega_h \in \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)'_+$ is defined by the generating map

$$G_{{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_h(\omega_h)}(\cdot) = e^{iF_h(\cdot)} G_{\omega_h}(f(\cdot)) \ .$$

In general $G_{{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_h(\omega_h)}$ fails to be of almost positive type, and thus ${}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_h\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)'_+\big) \not\subseteq \mathbf{W}_h(\underline{X},\underline{\sigma})'_+$.

Nonetheless, consider a bounded convergent net $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ of regular states

$$\omega_{h_\beta} \overset{h_\beta\to 0}{\longrightarrow}_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathbb{R}^+) \ .$$

If $\lim_{\beta\in B} F_{h_\beta} = F_0$ (pointwise), then for any $\underline{x} \in \underline{X}$

$$g(\underline{x}) := \lim_{\beta\in B} G_{{}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_{h_\beta}(\omega_{h_\beta})}(\underline{x}) = e^{iF_0(\underline{x})}\hat{M}(f(\underline{x})) \ . \tag{15}$$

To clarify the purpose of this analysis, let us consider now an explicit example. Let $\xi \in X$ be fixed; then the map

$$\mathbb{F}_h^{(\xi)}\big(W_h(x)\big) = W_h(\xi)W_h(x) = e^{-ih\sigma(\xi,x)}W_h(x+\xi)$$

extends to a *-endomorphism $\mathbb{F}_h^{(\xi)} \in {}^*\mathrm{Hom}\big(\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma), \mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)\big)$. For $\mathbb{F}_h^{(\xi)}$, equation (15) becomes

$$g^{(\xi)}(x) = \hat{M}(x+\xi) \ , \quad \forall x \in X \ . \tag{16}$$

Equation (16) defines a (complex) signed cylindrical measure on V, that we may denote (with a slight abuse of notation) by $e^{i\xi(v)}\mathrm{d}M(v)$ or $e^{i\xi(\cdot)}M$. In other words, we have the following characterization of the Wigner measures associated to $\big({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}_{h_\beta}^{(\xi)}\omega_{h_\beta}\big)_{\beta\in B}$:

$$\mathscr{W}_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}}\Big(\omega_{h_\beta}(W_{h_\beta}(\xi)\,\cdot\,), \beta\in B\Big) = \Big\{e^{i\xi(\cdot)}M \ , \ M \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}}(\omega_{h_\beta}, \beta\in B)\Big\} \ . \tag{17}$$

We are interested in giving a similar characterization for other homomorphisms. The part $f^{(\xi)}$ of $\mathbb{F}_h^{(\xi)}$ is non-linear, and for general non-linear transformations it may be difficult to obtain an explicit formula of type (17). We restrict to linear adjoint continuous maps.

Proposition 6.1. *Let (X,σ) and $(\underline{X},\underline{\sigma})$ be symplectic vector spaces, V and $\underline{V}$ topological vector spaces in compatible duality with X and $\underline{X}$ respectively. For any linear continuous map $u : V \to \underline{V}$, and for any $F_h \in \mathbb{R}^{\underline{X}}$ such that for any $\underline{x} \in \underline{X}$, $\lim_{h\to 0} F_h(\underline{x}) = 0$, define $\mathbb{F}_h^{(u)} = ({}^{\mathrm{t}}u, F_h)$. Then for any bounded net $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$,*

$h_\beta \to 0$, of regular states on $\big(\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(X,\sigma)\big)_{\beta\in B}$ that satisfy bound (10):

$$\mathscr{W}_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}}\Big({}^{\mathrm{t}}\mathbb{F}^{(u)}_{h_\beta}(\omega_{h_\beta})\,,\,\beta\in B\Big) = \Big\{u_*M\,,\,M\in\mathscr{W}_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}}(\omega_{h_\beta},\beta\in B)\Big\}\,. \tag{18}$$

Proof. The definition of push-forward (image) of a cylindrical measure is briefly recalled in Appendix A.2.2 [see 14, 36, for additional details]. Equation (15) for $\mathbb{F}^{(u)}_h$ becomes, for any $\underline{x}\in\underline{X}$,

$$g(\underline{x}) = \hat{M}\big({}^{\mathrm{t}}u(\underline{x})\big) = \widehat{u_*M}(\underline{x})\,.$$

⊣

6.2. **Quantum convolution and convolution of measures.** From Theorem 4.1 it also follows that the Wigner measures associated to the quantum convolution of regular quantum states are convoluted measures. The convolution $\circledast$ of two cylindrical measures $M,N\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V,\mathbb{R}^+)$ is defined as the pushforward of the tensor product cylindrical measure $M\otimes N\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{cyl}}(V\times V,\mathbb{R}^+)$ by means of the addition map $\alpha: V\times V\to V$ defined by $(v,w)\mapsto v+w$. In other words,

$$M\circledast N = \alpha_*\,(M\otimes N)\,.$$

It is a well-known result that

$$\widehat{M\circledast N} = \hat{M}\cdot\hat{N}\,,$$

where the dot stands for the multiplication of complex-valued functions.

On the other hand, we define the *quantum convolution* as the quantum counterpart of the convolution of cylindrical measures. Let $\omega_h,\varrho_h\in\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)'_+$ be regular quantum states, their quantum convolution $\omega_h\star\varrho_h\in\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)'$ is the state defined as follows. On generators, define the action

$$\omega_h\star\varrho_h[W_h(\xi)] = \omega_h\big(W_h(\xi)\big)\,\varrho_h\big(W_h(\xi)\big)\,.$$

On finite linear combinations one has

$$\omega_h\star\varrho_h\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n\lambda_j W_h(\xi_j)\Big) = \sum_{j=1}^n\lambda_j\,\omega_h\star\varrho_h[W_h(\xi_j)]\,.$$

Then $\omega_h\star\varrho_h$ extends to a state on $\mathbf{W}_h(X,\sigma)$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let (X,σ) be a symplectic vector space, V a topological vector spaces in compatible duality with X. Consider two bounded nets of regular quantum states $(\omega_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$ and $(\varrho_{h_\beta})_{\beta\in B}$, $h_\beta\to 0$, on $\big(\mathbf{W}_{h_\beta}(X,\sigma)\big)_{\beta\in B}$. If*

$$\omega_{h_\beta}\to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M\,,\ \varrho_{h_\beta}\to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} N\,,$$

then

$$\omega_{h_\beta} \star \varrho_{h_\beta} \to_{\mathfrak{P}\vee\mathfrak{T}} M \circledast N \ .$$

Proof. By definition of quantum convolution, the generating functional of $\omega_h \star \varrho_h$ satisfies:

$$G_{\omega_h\star\varrho_h}(\cdot) = G_{\omega_h}(\cdot)G_{\varrho_h}(\cdot) \ .$$

Therefore pointwise

$$\lim_{\beta\in B} G_{\omega_{h_\beta}\star\varrho_{h_\beta}}(\cdot) = \hat{M}(\cdot)\hat{N}(\cdot) = \widehat{M\circledast N}(\cdot) \ .$$

⊣

Appendix A. Elements of cone-valued measure theory

In this appendix, we outline some results of vector integration as a reference. For our purpose, vector measures with values in cones behave essentially as standard measures, and in particular Bochner's theorem is valid for cylindrical cone-valued measures.

A.1. **Definition of cone-valued measures.**

- Given a measurable space E, we denote by Σ its σ-algebra.
- We will always denote by X a real vector space, and by C a *pointed and generating* convex cone in X containing 0. This means that

$$C \cap -C = \{0\} \ ; \ C - C = X \ .$$

- As before, we denote by X^* the algebraic dual of X, and for any $X' \subset X^*$ we denote by C' the dual cone of C defined by $C' = \{\kappa \in X', \kappa(C) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+\}$. If X is locally convex, X' its topological dual and C is closed, then the Hahn-Banach separation theorem yields

(c1) $$C = C'' = \{x \in X, \kappa(C') \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+\} \ .$$

  We will consider only triples (X, X', C) satisfying (c1).
- We denote by $\mathbb{R}^+_\infty = [0,\infty]$ the extended real semi-line considered as an additive semigroup with the additional rule

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+_\infty)\ \infty + x = x + \infty = \infty \ .$$

  We also denote by $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\} = [-\infty, +\infty]$ the (compact) complete lattice of extended reals, and by $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong [-\infty, +\infty] \times [-\infty, +\infty]$ the extended complex numbers (one-point compactification of the complex numbers).

- We denote by $C_\infty = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(C', \mathbb{R}^+_\infty)$ the subset of $(\mathbb{R}^+_\infty)^{C'}$ consisting of monoid homomorphisms. C_∞ is a monoid with respect to pointwise addition.
- We denote by $\mathfrak{i}_C$ the natural monoid morphism

$$\mathfrak{i}_C : C \to C_\infty \ , \ (\forall c \in C)(\forall \kappa \in C')\mathfrak{i}_C(c)(\kappa) = \kappa(c) \ .$$

  $\mathfrak{i}_C(c_1) = \mathfrak{i}_C(c_2)$ yields $(\forall \kappa \in C')\kappa(c_1 - c_2) = \kappa(c_2 - c_1) = 0$. Therefore (c1) implies $c_1 - c_2 \in C \cap -C$ and by the pointedness of C we have $c_1 - c_2 = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{i}_C$ is injective and $C \cong \mathfrak{i}_C(C)$ is a submonoid of C_∞.
- The next condition is important to define cone-valued measures:

$$\mathfrak{i}_C(C) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(C', \mathbb{R}^+) \ . \tag{c2}$$

  We discuss later some explicit example of triples that satisfy (c1) and (c2).

Cone valued measures are vector measures generalizing the concept of positive measures. They can be seen as suitable collections of the latter, and therefore they share many interesting properties with "usual" positive measures.

Definition A.1 (C-valued measures)**.** Let (X, X', C) be a triple that satisfies (c1)-(c2), and E a measurable space. Then $\mu \in (C_\infty)^\Sigma$ is a C-valued measure on E iff it is countably additive and $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$.

Remark A.2. In the definition above, 0 is the trivial monoid morphism that maps every $\kappa \in C'$ to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^+_\infty$. In addition, countable additivity is intended in the following sense. Let $\{K_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_\infty$ be a subset of C_∞; then the countable combination $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} K_j \in C_\infty$ is defined by pointwise convergence – in the topology of extended reals – of partial sums, i.e. by convergence of the sequences

$$\mathbb{R}^+_\infty \supset (w^\kappa_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{n} K_j(\kappa)\Big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \ , \ \kappa \in C' \ .$$

Therefore a function $\mu \in (C_\infty)^\Sigma$ is countably additive iff for any collection $\{b_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma$ of mutually disjoint measurable sets,

$$\mu\Big(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} b_j\Big) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mu(b_j) \ .$$

If $C = \mathbb{R}^+$, Definition A.1 corresponds to that of positive Borel measures. As it was stated before, a key feature of C-valued measures is that they are in fact families of positive measures, indexed by the dual cone C'. The precise statement is the following, whose proof follows almost directly from Definition A.1 above.

Theorem A.3 (Neeb [33]). *There is a bijection between C-valued measures μ on E and families of positive measures $(\mu_\kappa)_{\kappa\in C'}$ on E such that for any $b\in\Sigma$, $\big(\kappa\mapsto\mu_\kappa(b)\big)\in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(C',\mathbb{R}^+_\infty)$, i.e. the map $\kappa\mapsto\mu_\kappa(b)$ belongs to C_∞.*

In the light of Theorem A.3, we define a C-valued measure μ *finite* if μ_κ is a finite positive measure for any $\kappa\in C'$.

We turn now to integration of (scalar) functions with respect to cone-valued measures. As usual, it is convenient to start with the integration of non-negative functions. Theorem A.3 is very convenient in this context, since we can simply define cone-valued integration by means of usual integration. Let $f:E\to\mathbb{R}^+_\infty$ be a non-negative measurable function with values on the extended reals. Let $b\in\Sigma$; then we define for any $\kappa\in C'$,

$$\mathbb{R}^+_\infty\ni I_\kappa=\int_b f(x)d\mu_\kappa(x)\ .$$

The map $\kappa\mapsto I_\kappa$ is a monoid morphism, and therefore an element of C_∞, that we denote by $\mu_b(f)$. This leads to the following natural definition.

Definition A.4 (μ-integrable functions). Let (X,X',C) be a triple that satisfies (c1)-(c2); and μ a C-valued measure on a measurable space E. The *measure* of a *non-negative* measurable function $f\in(\mathbb{R}^+_\infty)^E$ is defined by

$$C_\infty\ni\mu_b(f)=\left(\kappa\mapsto\int_b f(x)d\mu_\kappa(x)\right)\ .$$

A non-negative measurable function $f\in(\mathbb{R}^+_\infty)^E$ is *μ-integrable* on the measurable set $b\in\Sigma$ iff $\mu_b(f)\in\mathfrak{i}_C(C)=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(C',\mathbb{R}^+)$. In this case, we denote the *integral* by

$$C\ni\int_b f(x)d\mu(x)=\mathfrak{i}_C^{-1}\big(\mu_b(f)\big)\ .$$

A *complex function* $f\in\mathbb{C}^E$ is μ-integrable on the measurable set $b\in\Sigma$ iff $|f|$ is μ-integrable, and

$$\begin{aligned}X_{\mathbb{C}}\ni\int_b f(x)d\mu(x)=&\int_b(\mathrm{Re}f)_+(x)d\mu(x)-\int_b(\mathrm{Re}f)_-(x)d\mu(x)\\&+i\left(\int_b(\mathrm{Im}f)_+(x)d\mu(x)-\int_b(\mathrm{Im}f)_-(x)d\mu(x)\right)\ ;\end{aligned}$$

where $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of X, and $f=(\mathrm{Re}f)_+-(\mathrm{Re}f)_-+i\Big((\mathrm{Im}f)_+-(\mathrm{Im}f)_-\Big)$ with $\{(\mathrm{Re}f)_+,(\mathrm{Re}f)_-,(\mathrm{Im}f)_+,(\mathrm{Im}f)_-\}\subset(\mathbb{R}^+)^E$.

Remark A.5. If μ is finite, then any $f\in\bigcap_{\kappa\in C'}L^\infty(E,d\mu_\kappa)$ is integrable. In particular, any continuous and bounded function is Borel integrable on a topological space E.

In the next proposition we state the important linearity property of the integral $\int_b f(x)d\mu(x)$. The proof is trivial.

Proposition A.6. *The mapping $f \mapsto \int_b f(x)d\mu(x)$ is a linear, cone-homomorphism. In other words, for any complex-valued μ-integrable functions f_1, f_2 and $z \in \mathbb{C}$:*

$$\int_b \big(f_1(x) + z f_2(x)\big) d\mu(x) = \int_b f_1(x) d\mu(x) + z \int_b f_2(x) d\mu(x) \ .$$

In addition, the cone of non-negative μ-integrable functions is mapped into the cone $(C + i\{0\}) \subset X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

A.2. **Bochner's theorem.** We are now ready to prove a result that is crucial in our framework: Bochner's theorem for finite C-valued measures. To prove the theorem we follow closely [26, 33].

A.2.1. *Locally compact abelian groups.* In this subsection – if not specified otherwise – we take as measure space G a locally compact abelian group with character group $\hat{G}$; and (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1)-(c2) and some or all of the following additional conditions. Let K be a pointed and generating cone in a real vector space A. Then an involution * on $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ agrees with K if: $a^*a \in K + i\{0\}$ for any $a \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$, and for any $k \in K$ there exists an $a_k \in A_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $k = a_k^* a_k$. Then we define the following conditions:

(c3) X and X' locally convex ;

(c4) C' pointed and generating in X' ;

(c5) $(X')_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an involutive algebra with involution agreeing with C' ;

(c6) $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the continuous dual of $(X')_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Given a locally convex real vector space T, there are (infinitely) many ways to endow $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ with a topology in a "natural" way (i.e. satisfying some suitable properties). Therefore one may ask if it is always possible to endow $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(X')_{\mathbb{C}}$ with suitable topologies such that (c6) is satisfied. If X' is a Banach space and X its continuous dual, the answer is that for any so-called natural complexification of X' there is a so-called reasonable complexification of X such that (c6) is satisfied.

Definition A.7 (Completely positive functions)**.** Let G be an abelian group, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1) and (c3)-(c6). A function $f \in (X_{\mathbb{C}})^G$ is *completely positive* iff for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$, for any $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset G$ and $\{\tilde{\kappa}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \tilde{\kappa}_j^* \tilde{\kappa}_i \Big(f\big(g_i g_j^{-1}\big) \Big) \geq 0 \ .$$

The definition above is the analogous of positive-definiteness for the cone C. In order to study completely positive functions, it is convenient to introduce a slight generalization. Let $f \in (X_{\mathbb{C}})^G$, where G is an abelian group. Then there exist an associated kernel $F_f(\cdot,\cdot) : G \times G \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $F_f(g_1, g_2) = f(g_1 g_2^{-1})$. Hence it is natural to have the following definition.

Definition A.8 (Completely positive kernels)**.** Let A be a set, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1) and (c3)-(c6). A kernel $F : A \times A \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is completely positive iff for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$, for any $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset A$ and $\{\tilde{\kappa}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \tilde{\kappa}_j^* \tilde{\kappa}_i \big(F(a_i, a_j)\big) \geq 0 \, .$$

The equivalence of the two definitions for groups is given by the following trivial result.

Lemma A.9. *Let G be an abelian group, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1) and (c3)-(c6). A function $f \in (X_{\mathbb{C}})^G$ is completely positive iff the associated kernel $F_f \in (X_{\mathbb{C}})^{G\times G}$ is completely positive.*

In order to prove Bochner's theorem, we prove a couple of preliminary results related to complete positivity.

Lemma A.10. *Let (X, X', C) be a triple satisfying (c1)-(c6), and μ a finite C-valued Borel measure on a topological space E. If we denote by $L^2(E,\mu) \subset \mathbb{C}^E$ the space of μ-square integrable functions, i.e.*

$$L^2(E,\mu) = \bigcap_{\kappa \in C'} L^2(E, \mu_k) \, ;$$

then the integral map $I_\mu : L^2(E,\mu) \times L^2(E,\mu) \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$, defined by

$$I_\mu(f,g) = \int_E f(x)\bar{g}(x) d\mu(x) \, ,$$

is well-defined and a completely positive kernel.

Proof. The fact that the kernel I_μ is well-defined is easy to prove using the corresponding property of I_{μ_κ}, $\kappa \in C'$. To prove complete positivity, we proceed as follows. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$, $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset L^2(E,\mu)$ and $\{\tilde{\kappa}_i\}_{i=1}^n \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$. Using the decomposition $X'_{\mathbb{C}} = C' - C' + i(C' - C')$, we see that the map

$$X'_{\mathbb{C}} \ni \tilde{\kappa} \mapsto \mu_{\tilde{\kappa}} = \mu_{\kappa_{\mathrm{R}}^+} - \mu_{\kappa_{\mathrm{R}}^-} + i(\mu_{\kappa_{\mathrm{I}}^+} - \mu_{\kappa_{\mathrm{I}}^-})$$

defines a linear morphism from $X'_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the standard signed measures. Now let $\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}}$ be a signed measure, f an everywhere $\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}}$-integrable function. Then there is a

signed measure $\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}(f)}$ defined by $d\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}(f)}(x) = f(x)d\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}}(x)$. If we define in addition

$$\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}(f)^*} = \mu_{\tilde{\kappa}^*(\bar{f})} \ , \ \mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_1(f_1)+\tilde{\kappa}_2(f_2)} = \mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_1(f_1)} + \mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_2(f_2)} \ ,$$
$$\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_1(f_1)\tilde{\kappa}_2(f_2)} = \mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_1\tilde{\kappa}_2(f_1 f_2)} \ ;$$

then it is easy to see, using property (c5), that for any Borel set $b \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, $\tilde{\kappa} \in X'_{\mathbb{C}}$ and everywhere $\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}}$-integrable f:

$$\int_b d\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}(f)^*\tilde{\kappa}(f)} \geq 0 \ .$$

Then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \tilde{\kappa}_j^* \tilde{\kappa}_i \big(I_\mu(f_i, f_j)\big) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_E f_i(x)\bar{f}_j(x) d\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_j^*\kappa_i} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int_E d\mu_{\tilde{\kappa}_j(f_j)^*\tilde{\kappa}_i(f_i)}$$
$$= \int_E d\mu_{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\kappa}_i(f_i)\right)^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\kappa}_i(f_i)\right)} \geq 0 \ .$$

⊣

Corollary A.11. *Let (X, X', C) be a triple satisfying (c1)-(c6), and μ a finite C-valued Borel measure on a topological space E. If we denote by $L^\infty(E,\mu) \subset \mathbb{C}^E$ the space of μ-bounded functions, i.e.*

$$L^\infty(E,\mu) = \bigcap_{\kappa \in C'} L^\infty(E, \mu_k) \ ;$$

then the integral $I_\mu : L^\infty(E,\mu) \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a completely positive function – considering $L^\infty(E,\mu)$ as an abelian multiplicative group.

The last ingredient needed to formulate Bochner's theorem is the Fourier transform. The Fourier transform extends quite naturally to cone-valued measures.

Definition A.12 (Fourier transform of a C-valued measure)**.** Let G be a locally compact abelian group, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1)-(c2), and $\mathcal{M}(\hat{G}, C)$ the set of finite C-valued Borel measures on the character group $\hat{G}$. The *Fourier transform* is a map $\hat{} : \mathcal{M}(\hat{G}, C) \to (X_{\mathbb{C}})^G$, defined by

$$(\forall g \in G)\ \hat{\mu}(g) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma(g) d\mu(\gamma) \ .$$

Using the definitions above, Bochner's theorem is written in a rather familiar form.

Theorem A.13 (Bochner)**.** *Let G be a locally compact abelian group, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1)-(c6). The Fourier transform is a bijection between finite C-valued measures on $\hat{G}$ and completely positive ultraweakly continuous functions from G to $X_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Let μ be a finite C-valued measure on $\hat{G}$. Finiteness of the measure implies the integrability of $\gamma(g)$, since $(\forall \gamma \in \hat{G})(\forall g \in G)|\gamma(g)| = 1$. In addition, γ is a

representation of the abelian group G on the functions $L^\infty(G,\mu)$. Hence it follows by Corollary A.11 that $\hat{\mu}(\cdot)$ is completely positive. To prove ultraweak continuity, let $\kappa \in C' + i\{0\}$. By Definition A.4

$$\kappa\big(\hat{\mu}(\cdot)\big) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma(\cdot) d\mu_\kappa(\gamma)$$

is the Fourier transform of the finite measure μ_κ, hence continuous. Now by (c4), $(X')_{\mathbb{C}} = C' - C' + i(C' - C')$ and therefore for any $\tilde{\kappa} \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\tilde{\kappa}\big(\hat{\mu}(\cdot)\big) \in \mathbb{C}^G$ is continuous. By (c6), this yields the ultraweak continuity of $\hat{\mu}(\cdot)$.

Now let us consider a completely positive ultraweakly continuous function f from G to $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then for any $\kappa \in C' + i\{0\}$, $\kappa\big(f(\cdot)\big)$ is a positive definite continuous $\mathbb{C}$-valued function. Continuity trivially follows from ultraweak continuity (since $\kappa \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$). To prove positive-definiteness, we exploit complete positivity. By Definition A.7, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$, $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset G$ and $\{\tilde{\kappa}_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\kappa}_j^* \tilde{\kappa}_i \Big(f\big(g_i g_j^{-1}\big)\Big) \geq 0 \,.$$

Then by property (c5), there exists $\tilde{\kappa}_\kappa \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\kappa = \tilde{\kappa}_\kappa^* \tilde{\kappa}_\kappa$. So we can choose $\tilde{\kappa}_i = z_i \tilde{\kappa}_\kappa$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore by linearity we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \bar{z}_j z_i \kappa\Big(f\big(g_i g_j^{-1}\big)\Big) \geq 0 \,;$$

and hence positive-definiteness of $\kappa\big(f(\cdot)\big)$.

The classical Bochner's theorem for locally compact abelian groups [see e.g. 32] implies the existence of a unique positive, finite measure μ_κ such that $\kappa\big(f(\cdot)\big) = \hat{\mu}_\kappa(\cdot)$. Therefore we have a unique family of positive and finite measures $(\mu_\kappa)_{\kappa \in C'}$. In order for it to define a unique finite C-valued measure, it is necessary that $\kappa \mapsto \mu_\kappa$ is additive. Let $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in C'$. Then $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \in C'$, and there is a unique measure $\mu_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}$ such that $\hat{\mu}_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}(\cdot) = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)\big(f(\cdot)\big) = \kappa_1\big(f(\cdot)\big) + \kappa_2\big(f(\cdot)\big) = \hat{\mu}_{\kappa_1}(\cdot) + \hat{\mu}_{\kappa_2}(\cdot)$. However since the Fourier transform is a linear bijection, it follows that $\mu_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2} = \mu_{\kappa_1} + \mu_{\kappa_2}$. Hence by Theorem A.3 we have defined a unique C-valued measure μ. In addition, by Definition A.4 for any $\kappa \in C'$

$$\kappa\big(f(\cdot)\big) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma(\cdot) d\mu_\kappa(\gamma) = \kappa\left(\int_{\hat{G}} \gamma(\cdot) d\mu(\gamma)\right) .$$

Now by (c4), it follows that for any $\tilde{\kappa} \in (X')_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$\tilde{\kappa}\big(f(\cdot)\big) = \tilde{\kappa}\left(\int_{\hat{G}} \gamma(\cdot) d\mu(\gamma)\right) ,$$

and therefore by (c6) it follows that

$$f(\cdot) = \int_{\hat{G}} \gamma(\cdot) d\mu(\gamma) \ .$$

⊣

A.2.2. *Topological vector spaces.* Bochner's Theorem A.13 can be applied to finite dimensional real vector spaces (seen as abelian groups under addition). In that context, the Fourier transform takes the following form. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, V' its continuous dual. Given a C-valued measure on V, then its Fourier transform is a function from V' to $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(\omega) = \int_V e^{i\omega(v)} d\mu(v) \ .$$

Using cylinders, we obtain a variant of Bochner's theorem for cylindrical measures on topological real vector spaces with arbitrary dimension.

Definition A.14 (C-valued cylindrical measure)**.** Let V be a topological real vector space, $F(V)$ the set of its $\sigma(V, V')$-closed subspaces with finite codimension (ordered by inclusion); and (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1)-(c2). A family of measures $M = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi \in F(V)}$ is a cylindrical measure iff it is a projective system of C-valued measures on the family $Q(V)$ of finite dimensional quotients of V.

In other words, the family $(\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi \in F(V)}$ satisfies:

- $\big(\forall \Phi \in F(V)\big)$ μ_Φ is a C-valued measure on V/Φ;
- Define for any $b \in \mathcal{B}(V/\Phi)$; $p^{-1}_{\Phi\Psi}(b) = \{\xi \in V/\Psi, p_{\Phi\Psi}(\xi) \in b\}$, and $p_{\Phi\Psi}(\mu_\Psi)(b) = \mu_\Psi\big(p^{-1}_{\Phi\Psi}(b)\big)$. Then

$$\big(\forall\, \Phi \supset \Psi \in F(V)\big)\ \mu_\Phi = p_{\Phi\Psi}(\mu_\Psi) = p_{\Phi\Psi} * \mu_\Psi \ .$$

Remark A.15. The compatibility condition of Definition A.14 implies that for any $\Phi, \Psi \in F(V)$,

$$\mu_\Phi(V/\Phi) = \mu_\Psi(V/\Psi) = m \in C_\infty \ .$$

We call m the *total mass* of the cylindrical measure M. A cylindrical measure $M = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi \in F(V)}$ is *finite* if for any $\Phi \in F(V)$, the measure μ_Φ is finite.

We recall that every C-valued measure μ on V induces a cylindrical measure $M_\mu = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi \in F(V)}$. In fact, let $p_\Phi : V \to V/\Phi$ be the canonical projection, then it is sufficient to choose $\mu_\Phi = p_\Phi * \mu$, the push-forward (image) of μ by means of the canonical projection. On the other hand, for any *finite dimensional* V, every cylindrical measure $M = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi \in F(V)}$ induces a measure $\mu^{(M)} = \mu_{\{0\}}$ (this fails to be true if V is infinite dimensional). Now we are almost ready to define the Fourier transform of cylindrical measures. In order to do that, let us recall that

the push-forward can be extended to cylindrical measures. Consider a cylindrical measure $M = (\mu_\Phi)_{\Phi\in F(V)}$, and a linear continuous map $u : V \to W$. For any $\Xi \in F(W)$, $u^{-1}(\Xi) \in F(V)$, and $u_\Xi : V/u^{-1}(\Xi) \to W/\Xi$ is the linear application obtained from u passing to the quotients. Then

$$u_* M = (u_{\Xi *}\, \mu_{u^{-1}(\Xi)})_{\Xi\in F(W)} \ .$$

Finally, we denote by $\Phi^\circ \subset V'$ the polar of Φ, since Φ is a vector space, $\Phi^\circ = \{\xi \in V', (\forall v \in V)\xi(v) = 0\}$. It is possible to identify $(V/\Phi)'$ and Φ° by means of the adjoint map ${}^t p_\Phi$.

Definition A.16 (Fourier transform of cylindrical measures)**.** Let V be a topological vector space, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1)-(c2), and let $\mathcal{M}_{\rm cyl}(V, C)$ be the set of finite C-valued cylindrical measures on V. The *Fourier transform* is a map $\hat{} : \mathcal{M}_{\rm cyl}(V, C) \to (X_{\mathbb{C}})^{V'}$, defined by

$$\hat{M}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{it} \mathrm{d}(\xi_* M)(t) \ .$$

The Fourier transform can be equivalently defined as

$$(\forall \xi \in \Phi^\circ)\ \hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{V/\Phi} e^{i\xi(v)} d\mu_\Phi(v) \ .$$

We remark that

$$V' = \bigcup_{\Phi\in F(V)} \Phi^\circ \ , \tag{19}$$

and the consistency condition of Definition A.14 ensure the above definition is consistent. With the aid of Theorem A.13 and a projective argument, it is possible to prove the following result. A more direct proof, for scalar measures, can be found in [39].

Theorem A.17 (Bochner for cylindrical measures)**.** *Let V be a topological vector space, (X, X', C) a triple satisfying (c1)-(c6). The Fourier transform is a bijection between finite C-valued cylindrical measures on V and completely positive functions from V' to $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ that are ultraweakly continuous when restricted to any finite dimensional subspace of V'.*

A.3. **Signed and complex vector measures.** As in the scalar case, it is possible to introduce signed and complex vector measures.

- Given a convex pointed cone C of a real vector space X, we define the relation $\leq_C$ by

$$x \leq_C y \text{ iff } y - x \in C \ .$$

If for any $\{x, y\} \subset X$, there exist the supremum $x \vee_C y$ with respect to the partial order $\leq_C$, then $(X, \leq_C)$ is a Riesz space. In this case, we say that C is a *lattice cone* of X. Every pointed and generating cone is a lattice cone, for if C is generating there exist for any $x \in X$ the positive and negative part with respect to $\leq_C$.

- The extended real line $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is not an additive monoid, since $+\infty - \infty$ is not defined. However both $(-\infty, +\infty]$ and $[-\infty, +\infty)$ are additive monoids.
- We define $X_\infty = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(C', \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\})$ as the subset of functions $f \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\})^{C'}$ satisfying the following properties:
  - If $\pm\infty \in \mathrm{Ran}\, f$, then $\mp\infty \notin \mathrm{Ran}\, f$;
  - $f : C' \to \mathrm{Ran}\, f$ is a monoid homomorphism.

  This definition is justified by the fact that since $+\infty - \infty$ is not defined, signed measures may only take either $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ as a value (in order to be additive). This has also to be the case for signed vector measures, and therefore they will have X_∞ as target space, see Definition A.18 below.
- $(X_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{mon}}(C', \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\})$.

Let us consider the extension to vector measures of the concept of signed measures. This is easily done by means of X_∞ defined above.

Definition A.18 (Signed vector measures)**.** Let (X, X', C) be a triple that satisfies (c1)-(c2), E a measurable space. A function $\mu \in (X_\infty)^\Sigma$ is a signed vector measure on E iff it is countably additive and $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$.

The following useful lemma follows directly from the definition of signed measures.

Lemma A.19. *Every C-valued measure is also a signed measure. Any real linear combination of two C-valued measures is a signed measure, provided at least one of the two measures is finite.*

The important Theorem A.3 can be easily adapted to hold for signed measures as well.

Proposition A.20. *There is a bijection between signed vector measures μ on E and families of signed measures $(\mu_\kappa)_{\kappa \in C'}$ on E such that for any $b \in \Sigma$, $\big(\kappa \mapsto \mu_\kappa(b)\big) \in X_\infty$.*

A signed measure μ is *finite* iff for any $\kappa \in C'$, μ_κ is finite. The idea behind signed vector measures is that, as in the case of standard measures, they are the sum of two cone-valued measures. Therefore it is reasonable to define them as

a collection indexed only by the dual cone C', in order to prevent possible "sign incongruences" on μ_κ due to the action of a $\kappa \notin C'$. As a matter of fact, with this definition we can indeed prove the existence of a unique Jordan decomposition for signed vector measures. The precise statement is contained in the following result.

Proposition A.21. *Let (X, X', C) be a triple satisfying (c1)-(c2); and μ a signed vector measure on a measurable space E. Then there exist three C-valued measures $\mu^+, \mu^-, |\mu|$ such that:*

- *$\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$, and the decomposition is unique;*
- *$|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$;*
- *At least one between μ^+ and μ^- is finite;*
- *μ is finite iff $|\mu|$ is finite.*

In addition, $\mu^+ = \mu \vee_C 0$, $\mu^- = \mu \wedge_C 0$ and $|\mu| = |\mu|_C$. The operations $+$, $-$, $\vee_C$, $\wedge_C$ and $|\cdot|_C$ on measures are defined pointwise on measurable sets, and 0 is the measure identically zero.

Proof. Let μ be a signed vector measure. Then $(\mu_\kappa)_{\kappa \in C'}$ is the corresponding family of signed measures. By Jordan decomposition of signed measures, for any $\kappa \in C'$, there exist a unique decomposition $\mu_\kappa = \mu^+_\kappa - \mu^-_\kappa$, with μ^+_κ and μ^-_κ positive measures with at least one of the two finite, and μ_κ is finite iff $|\mu_\kappa|$ is finite. Hence if $(|\mu_k|)_{\kappa \in C'}$ is the image of a C-valued measure $|\mu|$, μ is finite iff $|\mu|$ is finite. In addition, suppose that there exists a $\tilde{\kappa} \in C'$ such that μ^+_κ is not finite. Then $+\infty \in \operatorname{Ran} \mu$, and therefore $-\infty \notin \operatorname{Ran} \mu$, i.e. for any $\kappa \in C'$, μ^-_κ is finite. It follows that if $(\mu^-_\kappa)_{\kappa \in C'}$ is the image of a C-valued measure, such measure is finite. An analogous statement holds with plus replaced by minus. By Lemma A.19, to prove the first part of the theorem it remains only to check that the families $(\mu^+_\kappa)_{\kappa \in C'}$ and $(\mu^-_\kappa)_{\kappa \in C'}$ are C-valued measures, i.e. that for any $b \in \Sigma$, the maps $\kappa \mapsto \mu^\pm_\kappa(b)$ are monoid morphisms. On one hand, we have by the fact that $\mu \in X_\infty$ and then Jordan decomposition that

$$\mu_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}(b) = \mu_{\kappa_1}(b) + \mu_{\kappa_2}(b) = \mu^+_{\kappa_1}(b) + \mu^+_{\kappa_2}(b) - \left(\mu^-_{\kappa_1}(b) + \mu^-_{\kappa_2}(b)\right) ;$$

on the other hand, by Jordan decomposition we have also that

$$\mu_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}(b) = \mu^+_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}(b) - \mu^-_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}(b) .$$

Now since the decomposition is unique, it follows that

$$\mu^\pm_{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}(b) = \mu^\pm_{\kappa_1}(b) + \mu^\pm_{\kappa_2}(b) ,$$

i.e. the map is a monoid morphism.

To prove the last part, let $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ be a vector signed measure with the respective decomposition. Then for any $b \in \Sigma$, we have that

$$X \ni \mu(b) = \mu^+(b) - \mu^-(b) \; ; \; \mu^+(b), \mu^-(b) \geq_C 0 \; .$$

Since C is pointed and generating, $(X, \leq_C)$ is a Riesz space and the decomposition in positive and negative parts is unique. Then it follows that $\mu^+ = \mu \vee_C 0$, $\mu^- = \mu \wedge_C 0$ and therefore $|\mu| = |\mu|_C$. ⊣

The complex vector measures are defined in an analogous fashion, and they are the sum of four C-valued measures. We quickly mention the basic definitions and results without proof, for they are equivalent to the ones for signed vector measures.

Definition A.22 (Complex vector measures)**.** Let (X, X', C) be a triple that satisfies (c1)-(c2), E a measurable space. A function $\mu \in \big((X_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty\big)^\Sigma$ is a complex vector measure on E iff it is countably additive and $\mu(\varnothing) = 0$.

Lemma A.23. *Under the identifications* $\mathbb{R} \ni \alpha \to \alpha + i0$, $+\infty \to \infty$, $-\infty \to \infty$*; every signed vector measure is also a complex measure. Any complex linear combination of two signed measures is a complex measure.*

Proposition A.24. *Let* (X, X', C) *be a triple satisfying* (c1)-(c2)*; and* μ *a complex vector measure on a measurable space* E*. Then there exist five* C*-valued measures* $\mu^+_{\mathrm{R}}, \mu^-_{\mathrm{R}}, \mu^+_{\mathrm{I}}, \mu^-_{\mathrm{I}}, |\mu|$ *such that:*

- $\mu = \mu^+_{\mathrm{R}} - \mu^-_{\mathrm{R}} + i(\mu^+_{\mathrm{I}} - \mu^-_{\mathrm{I}})$*, and the decomposition is unique;*
- $|\mu| = \mu^+_{\mathrm{R}} + \mu^-_{\mathrm{R}} + \mu^+_{\mathrm{I}} + \mu^-_{\mathrm{I}}$ *;*
- *At least one between* μ^+_{R} *and* μ^-_{R}*, and one between* μ^+_{I} *and* μ^-_{I} *are finite;*
- μ *is finite iff* $|\mu|$ *is finite, or equivalently if* $\mu^+_{\mathrm{R}}, \mu^-_{\mathrm{R}}, \mu^+_{\mathrm{I}}, \mu^-_{\mathrm{I}}$ *are all finite.*

Corollary A.25. *The integral with respect to a* finite *complex vector measure* μ *is a map* $\int_{(\cdot)} d\mu : \Sigma \to X_{\mathbb{C}}$ *defined by*

$$\int_b d\mu = \int_b d\mu^+_{\mathrm{R}} - \int_b d\mu^-_{\mathrm{R}} + i\Big(\int_b d\mu^+_{\mathrm{I}} - \int_b d\mu^-_{\mathrm{I}}\Big) \; .$$

A.4. **A concrete realization: duals of C*-algebras.** In this subsection, we discuss explicitly the relevant class of triples satisfying the properties (c1)-(c6) that was used in this paper.

- Given a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{A}_+$ the set of elements with positive spectrum, and by $\mathfrak{A}_*$ the set of self-adjoint elements.
- If $\mathfrak{A}'_*$ is the continuous dual of the set of self-adjoint elements $\mathfrak{A}_*$ of a C*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, we denote by $\mathfrak{A}'_+$ the functionals that are positive when acting on $\mathfrak{A}_+$.

In order to verify conditions (c1)-(c6), we make use of the following classical result [see e.g. 38].

Proposition A.26. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a C*-algebra. Then:*

- *$\mathfrak{A}_*$ is a real Banach subspace of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_* + i\mathfrak{A}_*$.*
- *$\mathfrak{A}_+$ is a closed, pointed and generating convex cone of $\mathfrak{A}_*$.*
- *$\mathfrak{A}'_+$ is a pointed and generating convex cone of $\mathfrak{A}'_*$; in particular for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}'_*$ there is a unique decomposition*

$$\alpha = \alpha^+ - \alpha^- \ , \quad \text{with } \alpha^+, \alpha^- \in \mathfrak{A}'_+ \ .$$

- *$(\mathfrak{A}'_*)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{A}'$.*

By means of Proposition A.26, conditions (c1), (c3)-(c6) are immediately proved. Condition (c2) is proved using a remarkable result of Neeb [33, Lemma I.5]. In fact, if we call C'_1 the set of elements of C' with $\mathfrak{A}_*$-norm one, then $C'_1 - C'_1$ is a 0-neighbourhood of $\mathfrak{A}_*$. In Section 2, the $\mathfrak{A}'_+$-valued measures played an important role; from the discussion above, it follows that the usage of all the results in this appendix, and especially Bochner's theorem, is justified.

References

[1] F. Acerbi, G. Morchio, and F. Strocchi. Infrared singular fields and nonregular representations of canonical commutation relation algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 34(3):899–914, 1993. ISSN 0022-2488. doi: 10.1063/1.530200. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.530200.

[2] Z. Ammari and M. Falconi. Wigner measures approach to the classical limit of the Nelson model: Convergence of dynamics and ground state energy. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 157(2):330–362, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s10955-014-1079-7. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-014-1079-7.

[3] Z. Ammari and M. Falconi. Bohr's correspondence principle in quantum field theory and classical renormalization scheme: the nelson model. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2016. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03212.

[4] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field limit for bosons and infinite dimensional phase-space analysis. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 9(8):1503–1574, 2008. ISSN 1424-0637. doi: 10.1007/s00023-008-0393-5. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00023-008-0393-5.

[5] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field limit for bosons and propagation of Wigner measures. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(4):042107, 16, 2009. ISSN 0022-2488. doi: 10.1063/1.3115046. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3115046.

[6] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field propagation of Wigner measures and BBGKY hierarchies for general bosonic states. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 95 (6):585–626, 2011. ISSN 0021-7824. doi: 10.1016/j.matpur.2010.12.004. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2010.12.004.

[7] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field propagation of infinite dimensional Wigner measures with a singular two-body interaction potential. *Annali della Scuola Normale Sup.*, XIV: 155–220, 2015.

[8] Z. Ammari, M. Falconi, and B. Pawilowski. On the rate of convergence for the mean field approximation of Bosonic many-body quantum dynamics. *Commun. Math. Sci.*, 14(5): 1417–1442, 2016. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.6284.

[9] L. Amour, L. Jager, and J. Nourrigat. On bounded pseudodifferential operators in Wiener spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 269(9):2747–2812, 2015. ISSN 0022-1236. doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2015.08.004. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2015.08.004.

[10] L. Amour, R. Lascar, and J. Nourrigat. Beals characterization of pseudodifferential operators in wiener spaces. *Applied Mathematics Research eXpress*, 2016. doi: 10.1093/amrx/abw001. URL http://amrx.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2016/02/07/amrx.abw001.abstract.

[11] L. Amour, R. Lascar, and J. Nourrigat. Weyl calculus in QED I. The unitary group. *J. Math. Phys.*, 58(1):013501, 24, 2017. ISSN 0022-2488. doi: 10.1063/1.4973742. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4973742.

[12] F. A. Berezin. Wick and anti-Wick symbols of operators. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 86(128):578–610, 1971.

[13] H. Bohr. *Almost Periodic Functions*. Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, N.Y., 1947.

[14] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXV. Livre VI: Intégration. Chapitre IX: Intégration sur les espaces topologiques séparés*. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1343. Hermann, Paris, 1969.

[15] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Théorie des ensembles*. Hermann, Paris, 1970.

[16] N. Bourbaki. *Éléments de mathématique. Topologie générale. Chapitres 1 à 4*. Hermann, Paris, 1971.

[17] N. Bourbaki. *Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chapitres 1 à 5*. Masson, Paris, new edition, 1981. ISBN 2-225-68410-3. Éléments de mathématique. [Elements of mathematics].

[18] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson. *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. 2.* Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1997. ISBN 3-540-61443-5. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-03444-6. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-03444-6. Equilibrium states. Models in quantum statistical mechanics.

[19] Y. Colin de Verdière. Ergodicité et fonctions propres du laplacien. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 102(3):497–502, 1985. ISSN 0010-3616. URL http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104114465.

[20] M. Correggi and M. Falconi. Effective potentials generated by field interaction in the quasi-classical limit. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2017. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01317.

[21] M. Falconi. Semiclassical analysis in infinite dimensions: Wigner measures. *BPMAS*, 2016. doi: 10.6092/issn.2240-2829/6686. URL https://mathematicalanalysis.unibo.it/article/view/6686.

[22] C. Fermanian-Kammerer and P. Gérard. Mesures semi-classiques et croisement de modes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 130(1):123–168, 2002. ISSN 0037-9484.

[23] J. Fröhlich, S. Graffi, and S. Schwarz. Mean-field- and classical limit of many-body Schrödinger dynamics for bosons. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 271(3): 681–697, 2007. ISSN 0010-3616. doi: 10.1007/s00220-007-0207-5. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-007-0207-5.

[24] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles, and S. Schwarz. On the mean-field limit of bosons with Coulomb two-body interaction. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 288(3):1023–1059, 2009. ISSN 0010-3616. doi:

10.1007/s00220-009-0754-z. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-009-0754-z.

[25] P. Gérard. Microlocal defect measures. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16 (11):1761–1794, 1991. ISSN 0360-5302. doi: 10.1080/03605309108820822. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03605309108820822.

[26] H. Glöckner. Positive definite functions on infinite-dimensional convex cones. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 166(789):xiv+128, 2003. ISSN 0065-9266. doi: 10.1090/memo/0789. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0789.

[27] B. Helffer, A. Martinez, and D. Robert. Ergodicité et limite semi-classique. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 109(2):313–326, 1987. ISSN 0010-3616. URL http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.cmp/1104116844.

[28] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam, and N. Rougerie. Derivation of Hartree's theory for generic mean-field Bose systems. *Adv. Math.*, 254:570–621, 2014. ISSN 0001-8708. doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2013.12.010. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.12.010.

[29] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam, and N. Rougerie. Remarks on the quantum de Finetti theorem for bosonic systems. *Appl. Math. Res. Express. AMRX*, 1:48–63, 2015. ISSN 1687-1200. doi: 10.1093/amrx/abu006. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/amrx/abu006.

[30] M. Lewin, P. T. Nam, and N. Rougerie. Derivation of nonlinear Gibbs measures from many-body quantum mechanics. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 2:65–115, 2015. ISSN 2429-7100.

[31] P.-L. Lions and T. Paul. Sur les mesures de Wigner. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 9(3): 553–618, 1993. ISSN 0213-2230.

[32] L. H. Loomis. *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Toronto-New York-London, 1953.

[33] K.-H. Neeb. Operator-valued positive definite kernels on tubes. *Monatsh. Math.*, 126(2):125–160, 1998. ISSN 0026-9255. doi: 10.1007/BF01473583. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01473583.

[34] G. Panati, H. Spohn, and S. Teufel. Space-adiabatic perturbation theory. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 7(1):145–204, 2003. ISSN 1095-0761. URL http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.atmp/1112627977.

[35] G. Rivière. Entropy of semiclassical measures in dimension 2. *Duke Math. J.*, 155(2):271–336, 2010. ISSN 0012-7094. doi: 10.1215/00127094-2010-056. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/00127094-2010-056.

[36] L. Schwartz. *Radon measures on arbitrary topological spaces and cylindrical measures*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay by Oxford University Press, London, 1973. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 6.

[37] I. E. Segal. Foundations of the theory of dyamical systems of infinitely many degrees of freedom. II. *Canad. J. Math.*, 13:1–18, 1961. ISSN 0008-414X.

[38] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. I*, volume 124 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979. ISBN 3-540-42248-X. Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.

[39] N. N. Vakhania, V. I. Tarieladze, and S. A. Chobanyan. *Probability distributions on Banach spaces*, volume 14 of *Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series)*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987. ISBN 90-277-2496-2. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-3873-1. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3873-1. Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Wojbor A. Woyczynski.

[40] M. Zworski. *Semiclassical analysis*, volume 138 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. ISBN 978-0-8218-8320-4. doi: 10.1090/gsm/138. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/gsm/138.

I-Math, Universität Zürich; Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich
E-mail address: marco.falconi@math.uzh.ch
URL: http://user.math.uzh.ch/falconi/